UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One) þ

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2008

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{or}}$$ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

Commission file number: 001-32312

Novelis Inc.

Canada (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

3399 Peachtree Road NE, Suite 1500 Atlanta, Georgia
(Address of principal executive offices)

98-0442987 (I.R.S. employer identification number)

> 30326 (Zip Code)

Telephone: (404) 814-4200

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer b (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company o

As of July 31, 2008, the registrant had 77,459,658 common shares outstanding. All of the Registrant's outstanding shares were held indirectly by Hindalco Industries Ltd., the Registrant's parent company.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) (unaudited) Three Months Ended June 30, 2008; May 16, 2007 Through June 30,	
	2007 (Restated); and April 1, 2007 Through May 15, 2007	2
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited) As of June 30, 2008 and March 31, 2008 (Restated)	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) Three Months Ended June 30, 2008; May 16, 2007 Through June 30, 2007 (Restated); and April 1, 2007	
	Through May 15, 2007	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statement of Shareholder's Equity (unaudited) Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 (Restated as to opening balance)	5
	Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	6
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	44
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	71
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	75
DADTH	OTHER INFORMATION	
PART II.	UTHER INFORMATION Legal Proceedings	77
Item 1.		79
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	/9
EX-10.1 EMPLOYMENT		
	ELIS LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN	
EX-31.1 SECTION CERT		
EX-31.2 SECTION 302 C		
EX-32.1 SECTION 906 C		
EX-32.2 SECTION 906 C	ERTIFICATION OF PFO	

1

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Novelis Inc.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (unaudited) (in millions)

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2008	May 16, 2007 Through June 30, 2007 (Restated)(A)	April 1, 2007 Through May 15, 2007
Net sales	Successor \$ 3,103	Successor \$ 1,547	Predecessor \$ 1,281
Cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown below)	2,831	1,436	1,205
Selling, general and administrative expenses	84	42	95
Depreciation and amortization	116	53	28
Research and development expenses	12	13	6
Interest expense and amortization of debt issuance costs — net	40	25	26
(Gain) loss on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net	(66)	(14)	(20)
Equity in net (income) loss of non-consolidated affiliates	2	1	(1)
Sale transaction fees	_	_	32
Other (income) expenses — net	22	11	4
	3,041	1,567	1,375
Income (loss) before provision (benefit) for taxes on income (loss) and minority interests' share	62	(20)	(94)
Provision (benefit) for taxes on income (loss)	35	27	4
Income (loss) before minority interests' share	27	(47)	(98)
Minority interests' share	(2)	2	1
Net income (loss)	25	(45)	(97)
Other comprehensive income (loss) — net of tax			
Currency translation adjustment	10	(2)	35
Change in fair value of effective portion of hedges — net	11	1	(1)
Amortization of net actuarial loss for postretirement benefit plans	_	_	(1)
Other comprehensive income (loss) — net of tax	21	(1)	33
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 46	\$ (46)	\$ (64)

⁽A) See Note 2 — Restatement of Financial Statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (unaudited) (in millions, except number of shares)

	June 30, 2008 Successor	As of March 31, 2008 (Restated)(A) Successor
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 296	\$ 326
Accounts receivable (net of allowances of \$1 as of June 30, 2008 and March 31, 2008)		
— third parties	1,577	1,248
— related parties	27	31
Inventories	1,577	1,455
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	86	58
Current portion of fair value of derivative instruments	198	203
Deferred income tax assets	111	125
Total current assets	3,872	3,446
Property, plant and equipment — net	3,253	3,357
Goodwill	1.868	1.869
Intangible assets — net	868	888
Investment in and advances to non-consolidated affiliates	938	946
Fair value of derivative instruments — net of current portion	32	21
Deferred income tax assets	9	12
Other long-term assets	,	.2
— third parties	92	102
— related parties	37	41
Total assets	\$ 10,969	\$ 10.682
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY	10,707	3 10,002
Current liabilities		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 14	\$ 15
Short-term borrowings	430	115
Accounts payable		
- third parties	1,613	1,582
— related parties	61	55
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	805	850
Deferred income tax liabilities	46	39
Total current liabilities	2,969	2.656
Long-term debt — net of current portion	2.553	2,560
Deferred income tax liabilities	695	701
Accrued postretirement benefits	435	421
Other long-term liabilities	599	672
	7,251	7,010
Commitments and contingencies	7,231	7,010
Commitments and contingencies	140	140
Minority interests in equity of consolidated affiliates	149	149
Shareholder's equity		
Common stock, no par value; unlimited number of shares authorized; 77,459,658 shares issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2008 and March 31, 2008	2 407	
Additional paid-in capital	3,497 5	3,497
Retained earnings (Accumulated deficit)		(20)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	67	46

⁽A) See Note 2 — Restatement of Financial Statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited) (in millions)

	Three Mont Ended June 30, 20 Successor	08	May 16, 2007 Through June 30, 2007 (Restated)(A) Successor	April 1, 2007 Through May 15, 2007 Predecessor
OPERATING ACTIVITIES	Siecessor		Successor	1 redecessor
Net income (loss)	s	25	§ (45)	S (97)
Adjustments to determine net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization (Gain) loss on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net		116 (66)	53 (14)	28 (20)
(Oam) loss on change in tan value of derivative instruments — net Deferred income taxes		10	14	(18)
Amortization of debt issuance costs		1		1
Write-off and amortization of fair value adjustments — net		(64)	(6)	_
Equity in net (income) loss of non-consolidated affiliates		2	T	(1)
Dividends from non-consolidated affiliates Minority interests' share		_	(2)	4 (1)
MINORITY INTERESTS SHAPE Impairment charges on long-lived assets		1	(2)	(1)
Gain) loss on sales of property about		(1)		_
Changes in assets and liabilities:		(.)		
Accounts receivable				
— third parties		(337)	(59)	(21)
— related parties		(2)	70	
Inventories Prepaid expenses and other current assets		(129) (29)	5	(76) (7)
Other long-term assets		8	(1)	(1)
Accounts payable			(-)	(-)
— third parties		63	_	(62)
related parties		11	1	
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities Accrued postretirement benefits		(5) 16	(78) 5	42 1
Accrued postreurement oenents Other long-term liabilities		27	12	(2)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	·	(351)	(44)	(230)
NVESTING ACTIVITIES		(331)	(44)	(230)
EVESTING ACTIVITIES Canital expenditures		(33)	(22)	(17)
Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment		1	1	(17)
Changes to investment in and advances to non-consolidated affiliates		6	1	1
Proceeds from loans receivable — net — related parties		8	4	_
Net proceeds from settlement of derivative instruments		34	29	18
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities		16	13	2
FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Proceeds from issuance of common stock Proceeds from issuance of debt			92	150
Principal repayments		(4)	(46)	(1)
This participation of the state		313	83	60
Dividends — minority interests		_	(1)	(7)
Debt issuance costs		_	(13)	(2)
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options				1
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		309	115	201
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(26)	84	(27)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash balances held in foreign currencies Cash and cash equivalents — beginning of period		(4) 326	102	1 128
Cash and cash equivalents — organing or period Cash and cash equivalents — end of period	6	296	S 186	S 102
·	3	290	3 186	\$ 102
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		17	S 14	S 13
Interest paid Income taxes paid	S	55	S 14 S 12	S 13
income taxes part Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities related to the Acquisition of Novelis Common Stock (See Note 1):	3	33	9 12	, ,
Property, plant and equipment			\$ (1,244)	
Goodwill			\$ (1,866)	
Intangible assets			S (859)	
Investment in and advances to non-consolidated affiliates			\$ (610)	
Debt			\$ 66	l

⁽A) See Note 2 — Restatement of Financial Statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY (unaudited) (in millions, except number of shares)

Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit) Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) Additional Paid-in Capital Common Stock Total Amount Shares Successor
Balance as of March 31, 2008 (Restated)(A)
Net income (loss)
Currency translation adjustment
Change in fair value of effective portion of hedges — net
Balance as of June 30, 2008 77,459,658 \$ \$ 3,523 3,497 (20) 46 25 25 10 10 11 11 \$ 3,569 77,459,658 3,497 67

⁽A) See Note 2 — Restatement of Financial Statements.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

1. Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

References herein to "Novelis," the "Company," "we," "our," or "us" refer to Novelis Inc. and its subsidiaries unless the context specifically indicates otherwise. References herein to "Hindalco" refer to Hindalco Industries Limited. In October 2007, the Rio Tinto Group purchased all the outstanding shares of Alcan, Inc. References herein to "Alcan" refer to Rio Tinto Alcan Inc.

Description of Business and Basis of Presentation

Novelis Inc., formed in Canada on September 21, 2004, and its subsidiaries, is the world's leading aluminum rolled products producer based on shipment volume. We produce aluminum sheet and light gauge products where the end-use destination of the products includes the construction and industrial, beverage and food cans, foil products and transportation markets. As of June 30, 2008, we had operations on four continents: North America; Europe; Asia and South America, through 32 operating plants, one research facility and several market-focused innovation centers in 11 countries. In addition to aluminum rolled products plants, our South American businesses include bauxite mining, alumina refining, primary aluminum smelting and power generation facilities that are integrated with our rolling plants in Brazil.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended March 31, 2008 filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on August 11, 2008. The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to SEC Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP) have been condensed or omitted pursuant to those rules and regulations, although we believe that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information not misleading.

Acquisition of Novelis Common Stock and Predecessor and Successor Reporting

On May 15, 2007, the Company was acquired by Hindalco through its indirect wholly-owned subsidiary pursuant to a plan of arrangement (the Arrangement) at a price of \$44.93 per share. The aggregate purchase price for all of the Company's common shares was \$3.4 billion and Hindalco also assumed \$2.8 billion of Novelis' debt for a total transaction value of \$6.2 billion. Subsequent to completion of the Arrangement on May 15, 2007, all of our common shares were indirectly held by Hindalco.

Our acquisition by Hindalco was recorded in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 103, Push Down Basis of Accounting Required in Certain Limited Circumstances (SAB No. 103). In the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets, the consideration and related costs paid by Hindalco in connection with the acquisition have been "pushed down" to us and have been allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 141, Business Combinations. Due to the impact of push down accounting, the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements and certain note presentations for the three months ended June 30, 2007 are presented in two distinct periods to indicate the application of two different bases of accounting between the periods presented: (1) the period up to, and including, the acquisition date (April 1, 2007 through May 15, 2007, labeled "Predecessor") and (2) the period after that date (May 16, 2007 through June 30, 2007, labeled "Successor"). The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include a black line division which indicates that the Predecessor and Successor reporting entities shown are not comparable.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

Interim Reporting

The unaudited results of operations for the interim periods shown in these condensed consolidated financial statements, including the periods shown as Predecessor and Successor, are not necessarily indicative of operating results for the entire fiscal year. In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements recognize all adjustments of a normal recurring nature considered necessary to fairly state our consolidated financial position as of June 30, 2008 and March 31, 2008; the consolidated results of our operations and consolidated cash flows for (1) the three months ended June 30, 2008 and (2) the periods from May 16, 2007 through June 30, 2007 (as restated); and from April 1, 2007 through May 15, 2007; and changes in our consolidated shareholder's equity for the three months ended June 30, 2008.

Dividends

Our board of directors has declared no dividends since October 26, 2006. Future dividends are at the discretion of the board of directors and will depend on, among other things, our financial resources, cash flows generated by our business, our cash requirements, restrictions under the instruments governing our indebtedness, being in compliance with the appropriate indentures and covenants under the instruments that govern our indebtedness that would allow us to legally pay dividends and other relevant factors.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

The following accounting standards have been adopted by us during the three months ended June 30, 2008.

On April 1, 2008, we adopted FASB Statement No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities — including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure financial instruments and certain other assets and liabilities at fair value on an instrument-by-instrument basis (the "fair value option") with changes in fair value reported in earnings each reporting period. The fair value option enables some companies to reduce the volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without applying the complex hedge accounting requirements under FASB Statement No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (FASB Statement No. 133), to achieve similar results. We already record our derivative contracts and hedging activities at fair value in accordance with FASB Statement No. 133. We did not elect the fair value option for any other financial instruments or certain other financial assets and liabilities that were not previously required to be measured at fair value.

On April 1, 2008, we adopted FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (FASB Statement No. 157), as it relates to financial assets and financial liabilities. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157, which delayed our required adoption date of FASB Statement No. 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on at least an annual basis, until April 1, 2009, Also in February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-1, Application of FASB Statement No. 157 to FASB Statement No. 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements That Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement under Statement 13, which states that FASB Statement No. 13, Accounting for Leases, and other accounting pronouncements that address fair value measurements for purposes of lease classification or measurement under FASB Statement No. 13 are excluded from the provisions of FASB Statement No. 157, except for assets and liabilities related to leases assumed in a business combination that are required to be measured at fair value under FASB Statement No. 141 or FASB Statement No. 141 (Revised), Business Combinations. See Note 15 — Fair Value Measurements regarding our adoption of this standard.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

On April 1, 2008, we adopted FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. FIN 39-1, Amendment of FASB Interpretation No. 39, (FSP FIN 39-1). FSP FIN 39-1 amends FASB Statement No. 39, Offsetting of Amounts Related to Certain Contracts, by permitting entities that enter into master netting arrangements as part of their derivative transactions to offset in their financial statements net derivative positions against the fair value of amounts (or amounts that approximate fair value) recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral or the obligation to return cash collateral under those arrangements. Our adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

The following new accounting standards have been issued, but have not yet been adopted by us as of June 30, 2008, as adoption is not required until future reporting periods.

In May 2008, the FASB issued Statement No. 162, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (FASB Statement No. 162). FASB Statement No. 162 defines the order in which accounting principles that are generally accepted should be followed. FASB Statement No. 162 is effective 60 days following the SEC's approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) amendments to AU Section 411, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. We have not yet commenced evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of FASB Statement No. 162 on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In April 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 142-3, Determination of Useful Life of Intangible Assets, (FSP FAS 142-3). FSP FAS 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing the renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under FASB Statement No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, FSP FAS 142-3 also requires expanded disclosure related to the determination of intangible asset useful lives. FSP FAS 142-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Earlier adoption is prohibited. We have not yet commenced evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of FSP FAS 142-3 on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In March 2008, the FASB issued Statement No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (FASB Statement No. 161), an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133. FASB Statement No. 161 changes the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities. Entities are required to provide enhanced disclosures about (i) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (ii) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under FASB Statement No. 133 and its related interpretations and (iii) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. FASB Statement No. 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008, with early adoption permitted. FASB Statement No. 161 permits, but does not require, comparative disclosures for earlier periods upon initial adoption. We have not yet commenced evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of FASB Statement No. 161 on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, cash flows or disclosures related to derivative instruments and hedging activities.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 141 (Revised), Business Combinations, (FASB Statement No. 141(R)) which establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer in a business combination (i) recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquirer, (ii) recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase, and (iii) determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. FASB Statement No. 141(R) also requires acquirers to estimate the acquisition-date fair value of any contingent consideration and to recognize any subsequent changes in the fair value of contingent

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

consideration in earnings. We will be required to apply this new standard prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008, with the exception of the accounting for valuation allowances on deferred taxes and acquired tax contingencies. FASB Statement No. 141(R) amends certain provisions of FASB Statement No. 141(R) accounting for Income Taxes, such that adjustments made to valuation allowances on deferred taxes and acquired tax contingencies associated with acquisitions that closed prior to the effective date of FASB Statement No. 141(R) would also apply the provisions of FASB Statement No. 141(R). Early adoption is prohibited. We are currently evaluating the effects that FASB Statement No. 141(R) may have on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements (FASB Statement No. 160), which establishes accounting and reporting standards that require: (i) the ownership interest in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent to be clearly identified and presented in the consolidated balance sheet within shareholder's equity, but separate from the parent's equity; (ii) the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and the noncorrolling interest to be clearly identified and presented on the face of the consolidated statement of operations and (iii) changes in a parent's ownership interest while the parent retains its controlling financial interest in its subsidiary to be accounted for consistently. FASB Statement No. 160 applies to fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Earlier adoption is prohibited. We have not yet commenced evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of FASB Statement No. 160 on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

We have determined that all other recently issued accounting standards will not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows, or do not apply to our operations.

2. Restatement of Financial Statements

The Company has restated its consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2008 and for the period from May 16, 2007 through March 31, 2008. This restatement corrects non-cash errors relating to our application of purchase accounting associated with an equity method investment which led to a misstatement of our provision for income taxes during the period we were finalizing our purchase accounting. The impact of the restatement on the period from May 16, 2007 through June 30, 2007 represented the correction of other miscellaneous adjustments related to an overstatement of deferred income tax expense that were deemed to be not material by management, either individually or in the aggregate. These adjustments do not have an impact on our compliance with the financial covenants under our 7.25% Senior Notes or under our New Senior Secured Credit Facilities (see Note 9 — Debt to our accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements). See our Form 10-K/A filed with the SEC on August 11, 2008 for details of these corrections, including the effects of the restatement on the March 31, 2008 balance sheet.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

The following tables highlight the financial statement effect related to the above corrections for the period from May 16, 2007 through June 30, 2007.

Our condensed consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for the period from May 16, 2007 through June 30, 2007 is restated as follows (in millions).

		May 16, 2007 Through June 30, 2007				
	As Previous Reported Successor	у	Restatements	_	Res	As stated cessor
Net sales	\$	1,547	\$	_	\$	1,547
Cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown below)		1,436		_		1,436
Selling, general and administrative expenses		42		_		42
Depreciation and amortization		53		_		53
Research and development expenses		13		_		13
Interest expense and amortization of debt issuance costs — net		25		_		25
(Gain) loss on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net		(14)		_		(14)
Equity in net (income) loss of non-consolidated affiliates		1		_		1
Other (income) expenses — net		11		_		11
		1,567		=		1,567
Income (loss) before provision (benefit) for taxes on income (loss) and minority interests' share		(20)		=		(20)
Provision (benefit) for taxes on income (loss)		36		(9)		27
Income (loss) before minority interests' share		(56)		9		(47)
Minority interests' share		2		_		2
Net income (loss)		(54)		9		(45)
Other comprehensive income (loss) — net of tax						
Currency translation adjustment		(2)		_		(2)
Change in fair value of effective portion of hedges — net		1		_		1
Other comprehensive income (loss) — net of tax		(1)		_		(1)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$	(55)	\$	9	\$	(46)

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

Our condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the period from May 16, 2007 through June 30, 2007 is restated as follows (in millions).

		May 16, 2007 Through June 30, 2007			
	Re	reviously ported ccessor	Restatements	As Restated Successor	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Net income (loss)	S	(54) \$	9	\$ (45)	
Adjustments to determine net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		()			
Depreciation and amortization		53	_	53	
(Gain) loss on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net		(14)	_	(14)	
Deferred income taxes		23	(9)	14	
Write-off and amortization of fair value adjustments — net		(6)	= =	(6)	
Equity in net (income) loss of non-consolidated affiliates		T	_	1	
Minority interests' share		(2)	_	(2)	
Changes in assets and liabilities (net of effects from acquisitions and divestitures):					
Accounts receivable — third parties		(59)	_	(59)	
Inventories		70	_	70	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		5	_	5	
Other long-term assets		(1)	_	(1)	
Accounts payable — related parties		1	_	1	
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities		(78)	_	(78)	
Accrued postretirement benefits		5	_	5	
Other long-term liabilities		12		12	
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	<u></u>	(44)		(44)	
INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Capital expenditures		(22)	_	(22)	
Proceeds from sales of assets		1	_	1	
Changes to investment in and advances to non-consolidated affiliates		1	_	1	
Proceeds from loans receivable — net — related parties		4	_	4	
Net proceeds from settlement of derivative instruments		29		29	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities FINANCING ACTIVITIES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13		13	
FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Proceeds from issuance of common stock		92	_	92	
Principal repayments		(46)	_	(46)	
Short-term borrowings — net		83	_	83	
Dividends — minority interests		(1)	_	(1)	
Debt issuance costs		(13)	_	(13)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		115		115	
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		84		84	
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash balances held in foreign currencies		- O-T			
Cash and cash equivalents — beginning of period		102	_	102	
Cash and cash equivalents — end of period	S	186 S	_	S 186	

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

3. Restructuring Programs

The following table summarizes the activity in our restructuring reserves (in millions).

	Severance Reserves									Total																																			
	Europe North America Total						Total	Europe		Europe		Europe		Europe		Europ		Europe		orth erica	Total	Rest	ructuring eserves																						
Successor:																																													
Balance as of March 31, 2008	\$	4	\$	3	\$ 7	\$	16	\$ 1	\$ 17	\$	24																																		
Provisions (recoveries) — net		_		(1)	(1)		_	_	_		(1)																																		
Cash payments		(1)		_	(1)		(1)	_	(1)		(2)																																		
Adjustments — other		_		2	2		_	_	_		2																																		
Balance as of June 30, 2008	\$	3	\$	4	\$ 7	\$	15	\$ 1	\$ 16	\$	23																																		

4. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following (in millions).

		As of			
	Ξ	June 30, 2008 Successor		March 31, 2008 Successor	
Finished goods	\$	355	\$	357	
Work in process		609		638	
Raw materials		526		386	
Supplies		88		75	
	_	1,578		1,456	
Allowances	_	(1)		(1)	
Inventories	\$	1,577	\$	1,455	

5. Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment — net, consists of the following (in millions).

			As of	
		June 30, 2008		March 31, 2008
		Successor	_	(Restated) Successor
and property rights	\$	2	60 \$	258
ldings		8-	44	826
chinery and equipment		2,4	81	2,460
	_	3,5	85	3,544
nulated depreciation and amortization		(4	13)	(331)
		3,1	72	3,213
uction in progress			81	144
erty, plant and equipment — net	\$	3,2	53 \$	3,357
* *			_	

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

Total depreciation expense is shown in the table below (in millions). We had no material interest capitalized on construction projects related to property, plant and equipment for the periods presented.

	Three Months Ended	May 16, 2007 Through	April 1, 2007 Through
	June 30, 2008	June 30, 2007	May 15, 2007
	Successor	Successor	Predecessor
Depreciation expense related to property, plant and equipment	\$103	\$49	\$28

6. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill

Goodwill by operating segment is as follows (in millions).

As of Adjustment						As of ne 30, 2008
-		(Restated) Successor		<u></u>		Successor
North America	\$	1,093	\$	(1)(A)	\$	1,092
Europe		515		_		515
Asia		_		_		_
South America		261		_		261
	\$	1,869	\$	(1)	\$	1,868

⁽A) Adjustment for final payment related to the transfer of pension plans in Canada for employees who elected to transfer their past service to Novelis. Plan assets transferred exceeded plan liabilities assumed by \$1 million (See Note 12 — Postretirement Benefit Plans).

Intangible Assets

The following table summarizes the components of intangible assets (in millions).

	As of												
		June 30, 2008 Successor						March 31, 2008 Successor					
	Gross Carrying Amount		Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Weighted Average Estimated Useful Life	C	Gross arrying mount		Accumulated Amortization	Ca	Net arrying mount	Weighted Average Estimated Useful Life	
Tradenames	\$ 152	\$	(9)	\$ 143	20 years	\$	152	\$	(6)	\$	146	20 years	
Technology	169		(13)	156	15 years		169		(10)		159	15 years	
Customer-related intangible assets	482		(27)	455	20 years		484		(21)		463	20 years	
Favorable energy supply contract	124		(17)	107	9.5 years		124		(13)		111	9.5 years	
Other favorable contracts	15		(8)	7	3.3 years		15		(6)		9	3.3 years	
	\$ 942	\$	(74)	\$ 868	17.2 years	\$	944	\$	(56)	\$	888	17.2 years	

Our favorable energy supply contract and other favorable contracts are amortized over their estimated useful lives using methods that reflect the pattern in which the economic benefits are expected to be

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

consumed. All other intangible assets are amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives.

The components of amortization expense related to intangible assets are as follows (in millions):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 Successor	May 16, 2007 Through June 30, 2007 Successor	April 1, 2007 Through May 15, 2007 Predecessor
Total Amortization expense related to intangible assets	\$ 18	\$ 7	s —
Less: Amortization expense related to intangible assets included in Cost of goods sold(A)	5	3	
Amortization expense related to intangible assets included in Depreciation and amortization	\$ 13	\$ 4	s <u> </u>

(A) Relates to amortization of favorable energy and other supply contracts.

Estimated total amortization expense related to intangible assets for each of the five succeeding fiscal years is as follows (in millions). Actual amounts may differ from these estimates due to such factors as customer turnover, raw material consumption patterns, impairments, additional intangible asset acquisitions and other events.

Fiscal Voca Ending Morah 21

2009 (remaining nine months)	\$ 46
2010	60
2011	56
2012	55
2013	55

7. Investment in and Advances to Non-Consolidated Affiliates and Related Party Transactions

The following table summarizes the ownership structure and our ownership percentage of the non-consolidated affiliates in which we have an investment as of June 30, 2008, and which we account for using the equity method. We have no material investments in affiliates that we account for using the cost method.

Affiliate Name	Ownership Structure	Ownership Percentage
Aluminium Norf GmbH	Corporation	50%
Consorcio Candonga	Unincorporated Joint Venture	50%
MiniMRF LLC	Limited Liability Company	50%
Deutsche Aluminium Verpackung Recycling GmbH	Corporation	30%
France Aluminium Recyclage S.A.	Public Limited Company	20%

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

The following table summarizes the condensed results of operations of our equity method affiliates (on a 100% basis, in millions) on a historical basis of accounting. These results do not include the incremental depreciation and amortization expense that we record in our equity method accounting, which arises as a result of the amortization of fair value adjustments we made to our investments in non-consolidated affiliates due to the Arrangement. For the three months ended June 30, 2008 and the period from May 16, 2007 through June 30, 2007, we recorded incremental depreciation and amortization expense, net of tax of \$9 million and \$3 million, respectively, as part of our equity method accounting for these affiliates.

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2008			16, 2007 rough 30, 2007	April 1, 2007 Through May 15, 2007		
Net sales	\$	157	\$	85	\$	45	
Costs, expenses and provisions for taxes on income		142		81		43	
Net income	\$	15	\$	4	\$	2	

Included in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements are transactions and balances arising from business we conduct with these non-consolidated affiliates, which we classify as related party transactions and balances. We earned less than \$1 million of interest income on a loan due from Aluminum Norf GmbH during each of the following periods: the three months ended June 30, 2008; the period from May 16, 2007 through June 30, 2007 and the period from April 1, 2007 through May 15, 2007. The following table describes the nature and amounts of significant transactions that we had with related parties (in millions).

	En June 3	Months ided 80, 2008	Ti June	7 16, 2007 hrough 2 30, 2007	Tł May	1 1, 2007 rough 15, 2007	
	Suce	cessor	Su	ccessor	Predecessor		
Purchases of tolling services and electricity							
Aluminium Norf GmbH(A)	\$	71	\$	41	\$	21	
Consorcio Candonga(B)		3		2		1	
Total purchases from related parties	\$	74	\$	43	\$	22	

(A) We purchase tolling services (the conversion of customer-owned metal) from Aluminium Norf GmbH.

(B) We purchase electricity from Consorcio Candonga for our operations in South America.

The following table describes the period-end account balances that we have with these non-consolidated affiliates, shown as related party balances in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets (in millions). We have no other material related party balances.

	AS 0I			
	June 30, 20	108		March 31, 2008
	Successor			Successor
Accounts receivable(A)	\$	27	\$	31
Other long-term receivables(A)	\$	37	\$	41
Accounts payable(B)	\$	61	\$	55

(A) The balances represent current and non-current portions of a loan due from Aluminium Norf GmbH.

(B) We purchase tolling services from Aluminium Norf GmbH and electricity from Consorcio Candonga.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

8. Accrued Expenses and Other Current Liabilities

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities are comprised of the following (in millions).

		As of				
	June 30, 2 Successor		March 31, 2008 Successor			
Accrued compensation and benefits	\$	125	\$	141		
Accrued settlement of legal claim		39		39		
Accrued interest payable		41		15		
Accrued income taxes		8		35		
Current portion of fair value of unfavorable sales contracts		233		242		
Current portion of fair value of derivative instruments		120		148		
Other current liabilities		239		230		
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	\$	805	\$	850		

9. Debt

Debt consists of the following (in millions).

		As of										
		June 30, 2008								March 31, 2008		
	Interest Rates(A)	Prin	ncipal	_	Unamortized Fair Value Adjustments(B) Successor	-	arrying Value	Principal		Unamortized Fair Value Adjustments(B) Successor	_	Carrying Value
Novelis Inc.												
7.25% Senior Notes, due February 2015	7.25%	\$	1,399	\$	65	\$	1,464	\$ 1,399	\$	67	\$	1,466
Floating rate Term Loan facility, due July 2014	4.79%		297		_		297	298		_		298
Novelis Corporation												
Floating rate Term Loan facility, due July 2014	4.79%(C)		653		_		653	655		_		655
Novelis Switzerland S.A.												
Capital lease obligation, due January 2020 (Swiss francs (CHF) 53 million)	7.50%		52		(3)		49	54		(4)		50
Capital lease obligation, due August 2011 (CHF 3 million)	2.49%		3		_		3	3		_		3
Novelis Korea Limited												
Bank loan, due October 2010	5.44%		100		_		100	100		_		100
Bank loans, due September 2008 through June 2011 (KRW 1 billion)	3.56%(D)		_		_		_	1		_		1
Other												
Other debt, due April 2008 through December 2012	1.64%(D)		1		_		1	2		_		2
Total debt			2,505		62		2,567	2,512		63		2,575
Less: current portion			(14)		_		(14)	(15)		_		(15)
Long-term debt — net of current portion		\$	2,491	\$	62	\$	2,553	\$ 2,497	\$	63	\$	2,560

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

- (A) Interest rates are as of June 30, 2008 and exclude the effects of accretion/amortization of fair value adjustments as a result of the Arrangement.
- (B) Debt was recorded at fair value as a result of the Arrangement.
- (C) Excludes the effect of any related interest rate swaps. See New Senior Secured Credit Facilities.
- (D) Weighted average interest rate.

New Senior Secured Credit Facilities

On July 6, 2007, we entered into new senior secured credit facilities with a syndicate of lenders led by affiliates of UBS and ABN AMRO (New Credit Facilities) providing for aggregate borrowings of up to \$1.76 billion. The New Credit Facilities consist of (1) a \$960 million seven-year Term Loan facility (Term Loan facility) and (2) an \$800 million five year multi-currency asset-based revolving credit line and letter of credit facility).

We incurred debt issuance costs on our New Credit Facilities totaling \$32 million. These fees are included in Other long-term assets — third parties and are being amortized over the life of the related borrowing in Interest expense and amortization of debt issuance costs — net using the "effective interest amortization" method for the Term Loan facility and the straight-line method for the ABL facility. The unamortized amount of these costs was \$25 million and \$27 million as of June 30, 2008 and March 31, 2008, respectively.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2007, we entered into interest rate swaps to fix the variable LIBOR interest rate for up to \$600 million of our floating rate Term Loan facility at effective weighted average interest rates and amounts expiring as follows: (i) 4.1% on \$600 million through September 30, 2008, (ii) 4.0% on \$500 million through March 31, 2009 and (iii) 4.0% on \$400 million through March 31, 2010. We are still obligated to pay any applicable margin, as defined in our New Credit Facilities, in addition to these interest rates.

7.25% Senior Note:

On February 3, 2005, we issued \$1.4 billion aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured debt securities (Senior Notes). The Senior Notes were priced at par, bear interest at 7.25% and mature on February 15, 2015.

As a result of the Arrangement, the Senior Notes were recorded at their fair value of \$1.474 billion based on their market price of 105.25% of \$1,000 face value per bond as of May 14, 2007. The incremental fair value of \$74 million is being amortized to interest income over the remaining life of the Senior Notes in *Interest expense and amortization of debt issuance costs — net* using the "effective interest amortization" method. Due to the change in the market price of our senior notes from 105.25% as of May 14, 2007 to 94.25% as of June 30, 2008, the estimated fair value of this debt has decreased \$155 million to \$1.318 billion.

Short-Term Borrowings and Lines of Credit

As of June 30, 2008, our short-term borrowings were \$430 million consisting of (1) \$359 million of short-term loans under our ABL facility, (2) a \$40 million short-term loan in Korea and (3) \$31 million in bank overdrafts. As of June 30, 2008, \$30 million of our ABL facility was utilized for letters of credit and we had \$411 million in remaining availability under this revolving credit facility.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

As of June 30, 2008, we had an additional \$158 million under letters of credit in Korea not included in our revolving credit facility. The weighted average interest rate on our total short-term borrowings was 3.63% and 4.12% as of June 30, 2008 and March 31, 2008, respectively.

10. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Other comprehensive income (loss) is comprised of the following (in millions).

	Ende June 3 2008 Succes	d 60,	Through June 3	gh Ô,	TI	hrough lay 15, 2007 decessor
Net change in foreign currency translation adjustments	\$	10	\$	(13)	\$	31
Net change in fair value of effective portion of hedges		19		2		(1)
Amortization of net actuarial loss for postretirement benefit plans		_		_		(1)
Net other comprehensive income (loss), before income tax effect		29		(11)		29
Income tax effect		(8)		10		4
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$	21	\$	(1)	\$	33

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of income tax effects, is comprised of the following (in millions).

		As of				
	June 30	, 2008		March 31, 2008		
	Succe:	ssor		(Restated) Successor		
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$	69	\$		59	
Fair value of effective portion of hedges — net		11			_	
Postretirement benefit plans:						
Pension and other benefits		(13)			(13)	
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	\$	67	\$		46	

11. Share-Based Compensation

Novelis Long-Term Incentive Plan

In June 2008, our board of directors authorized the Novelis Long-Term Incentive Plan FY 2009 — FY 2012 (2009 LTIP) covering the performance period from April 1, 2008 through March 31, 2012. Under the 2009 LTIP, phantom stock appreciation rights (SARs) are to be granted to certain of our executive officers and key employees. The SARs will vest at the rate of 25% per year, subject to performance criteria (see below) and expire seven years from their grant date. Each SAR is to be settled in cash based on the difference between the market value of one Hindalco share on the date of grant compared to the date of exercise, converted from Indian rupees to U.S. dollars at the time of exercise. The amount of cash paid would be limited to (i) 2.5 times the target payout if exercised within one year of vesting or (ii) 3 times the target payout if exercised after one year of vesting. The SARs do not transfer any shareholder rights in Hindalco to a participant. As of June 30, 2008, no SARs have been awarded.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

The performance criterion for vesting is based on the actual overall Novelis Operating Earnings before Interest, Depreciation, Amortization and Taxes (Operating EBITDA, as defined in the 2009 LTIP) compared to the target Operating EBITDA established and approved each fiscal year. The minimum threshold for vesting each year is 75% of each annual target Operating EBITDA, at which point 75% of the SARs for that period would vest, with an equal pro rata amount of SARs vesting through 100% achievement of the target.

Pre-Acquisition Share-Based Compensation Expense

As a result of our acquisition by Hindalco on May 15, 2007, all of our share-based compensation awards (except for our Recognition Awards) were accelerated to vest, cancelled and settled in cash using the \$44.93 purchase price per common share paid by Hindalco in the transaction. Compensation expense resulting from the accelerated vesting of plan awards, totaling \$45 million is included in *Selling, general* and administrative expenses in our condensed consolidated statement of operations for the period from April 1, 2007 through May 15, 2007.

Total Share-Based Compensation Expense for the respective periods is presented in the table below (in millions). These amounts are included in *Selling, general and administrative expenses* in our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

	En June 3	Months ded 0, 2008 essor	Th June	16, 2007 rough 30, 2007 cessor	TI May	ril 1, 2007 hrough v 15, 2007 edecessor
Recognition Awards	\$	0.1	\$	0.4	\$	1.5
Novelis 2006 Incentive Plan (stock options)		n.a.		n.a.		14.5
Novelis 2006 Incentive Plan (SAR's)		n.a.		n.a.		5.6
Novelis Conversion Plan of 2005		n.a.		n.a.		23.8
Stock Price Appreciation Unit Plan		n.a.		n.a.		(0.5)
Deferred Share Unit Plan for Non-Executive Directors		n.a.		n.a.		0.2
Novelis Founders Performance Awards		n.a.		n.a.		0.1
Total Share-Based Compensation Expense	\$	0.1	\$	0.4	\$	45.2

n.a. — not applicable

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

12. Postretirement Benefit Plans

Components of net periodic benefit cost for all of our significant postretirement benefit plans are shown in the table below (in millions).

			Pension I	Benefit Plans		Other Postretirement Benefit Plans							
	Ei June	Months ided 30, 2008	Th June	16, 2007 rough 30, 2007	Thromas 1	1, 2007 ough 5, 2007	En June 3	Months ded 0, 2008	Thi June	16, 2007 rough 30, 2007	Th May	11, 2007 brough 15, 2007	
	Suc	cessor	Suc	cessor	rreae	cessor	Succ	essor	Suci	cessor	rrec	lecessor	
Service cost	\$	10	\$	6	\$	6	\$	2	\$	1	\$	1	
Interest cost		15		6		6		3		1		1	
Expected return on assets		(13)		(5)		(5)		_		_		_	
Curtailment/settlement losses		1		_		_		(2)		_		_	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	13	\$	7	\$	7	\$	3	\$	2	\$	2	

The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is 6.9% in fiscal 2009.

Employer Contributions to Plans

For pension plans, our policy is to fund an amount required to provide for contractual benefits attributed to service to date, and amortize unfunded actuarial liabilities typically over periods of 15 years or less. We also participate in savings plans in Canada and the U.S., as well as defined contribution pension plans in the U.S., U.K., Canada, Germany, Italy, Switzerland, Malaysia and Brazil. We contributed the following amounts to all plans, including the Alcan plans that cover our employees (in millions).

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2008	Ti June	16, 2007 hrough 230, 2007	T Ma	oril 1, 2007 Chrough ny 15, 2007
	Successor	Su	ccessor	Pri	redecessor
Funded pension plans	\$	4 \$	4	\$	4
Unfunded pension plans		4	2		2
Savings and defined contribution pension plans		5	2		2
Total contributions	\$ 1	3 \$	8	\$	

During the remainder of fiscal 2009, we expect to contribute an additional \$31 million to our funded pension plans, \$13 million to our unfunded pension plans and \$13 million to our savings and defined contribution plans.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2008, we finalized the pension transfer in Canada for those employees who elected to transfer their past service from Alcan to Novelis. During the quarter, Alcan transferred pension assets of \$50 million and past service liability of \$49 million to the Novelis Pension Plan (Canada). We recorded the \$1 million difference between transferred plan assets and liabilities as an adjustment to Goodwill (see Note 6 — Goodwill and Intangible Assets).

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

13. Currency Losses (Gains)

The following currency losses (gains) are included in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations (in millions).

	Ended June 30, 200		Thr	ough 60, 2007	 Through May 15, 2007
	Successor		Succ	essor	Predecessor
Net loss (gain) on change in fair value of currency derivative instruments(A)	\$	(32)	\$	(16)	\$ (10)
Net loss (gain) on translation of monetary assets and liabilities(B)		20		7	4
	\$	(12)	\$	(9)	\$ (6)

(A) Included in (Gain) loss on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net.

(B) Included in Other (income) expenses - net.

The following currency gains (losses) are included in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets (net of tax effect and in millions).

	June 3	nded 30, 2008	M	Through arch 31, 2008 (Restated) Successor
Cumulative currency translation adjustment — beginning of period	\$	59	\$	_
Effect of changes in exchange rates		10		59
Cumulative currency translation adjustment — end of period	\$	69	\$	59

14. Financial Instruments and Commodity Contracts

In conducting our business, we use various derivative and non-derivative instruments to manage the risks arising from fluctuations in exchange rates, interest rates, aluminum prices and energy prices. Such instruments are used for risk management purposes only. We may be exposed to losses in the future if the counterparties to the contracts fail to perform. We are satisfied that the risk of such non-performance is remote, due to our monitoring of credit exposures.

Certain contracts are designated as hedges of either net investment or cash flows. For these contracts we recognize the change in fair value of the ineffective portion of the hedge as a gain or loss in our current period results of operations. We include the change in fair value of the effective and interest portions of these hedges in *Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)* within Shareholder's equity in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Our condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2008 includes a gain of \$22 million presented in *Other comprehensive income (loss)*—net of tax for the change in fair value of the effective portion of our cash flow hedges. As of June 30, 2008, we expect to realize \$3 million of effective net gains during the next twelve months. The maximum period over which we have hedged our exposure to cash flow variability is through November 2016.

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, we recognized gains of \$38 million presented in Other comprehensive income (loss) — net of tax for the change in fair value of the effective portion of our net investment hedges. As of June 30, 2008, we expect to realize \$10 million of effective net losses during the next twelve months. The maximum period over which we have hedged our exposure to net investment variability is through February 2015.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

The fair values of our financial instruments and commodity contracts as of June 30, 2008 and March 31, 2008 were as follows (in millions).

			As of June 30, 2008				
	Maturity Dates (Fiscal Year)	Assets	Liabilities	Net Fair Value			
Successor:							
Foreign exchange forward contracts	2009 through 2012	\$ 50	\$ (77)	\$ (27)			
Cross-currency swaps	2009 through 2015	7	(159)	(152)			
Interest rate currency swaps	2009 through 2011	14	_	14			
Interest rate swaps	2009 through 2010	_	(5)	(5)			
Aluminum forward contracts	2009 through 2011	122	(28)	94			
Aluminum options	2009 through 2011	6	(4)	2			
Electricity swap	2017	22	_	22			
Embedded derivative instruments	2009	_	(4)	(4)			
Natural gas swaps	2009 through 2010	9	_	9			
Total fair value		230	(277)	(47)			
Less: current portion(A)		198	(120)	78			
Noncurrent portion(A)		\$ 32	\$ (157)	\$ (125)			

			AS OF MIAICH 31, 2008			
	Maturity Dates (Fiscal Year)	Assets	Liabilities	Net Fair Value		
Successor:						
Foreign exchange forward contracts	2009 through 2012	\$ 47	\$ (116)	\$ (69)		
Cross-currency swaps	2009 through 2015	19	(189)	(170)		
Interest rate currency swaps	2009 through 2011	4	_	4		
Interest rate swaps	2009 through 2010	_	(15)	(15)		
Aluminum forward contracts	2009 through 2011	134	(9)	125		
Aluminum options	2009 through 2011	1	_	1		
Electricity swap	2017	14	_	14		
Embedded derivative instruments	2009	_	(20)	(20)		
Natural gas swaps	2009 through 2010	5	_	5		
Total fair value		224	(349)	(125)		
Less: current portion(A)		203	(148)	55		
Noncurrent portion(A)		\$ 21	\$ (201)	\$ (180)		

As of March 31 2008

⁽A) The amounts of the current and long-term portions of fair values under assets are each presented on the face of our accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. The amounts of the current and noncurrent portions of fair values under liabilities are included in Accrued expenses and other current liabilities and Other long-term liabilities, respectively, in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

15. Fair Value Measurements

FASB Statement No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The provisions of this standard apply to other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements and are to be applied prospectively with limited exceptions. Our adoption of FASB Statement No. 157 on April 1, 2008 resulted in (1) a gain of less than \$1\$ million which is included in (Gain) loss on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net in our condensed consolidated statement of operations, (2) a \$1\$ million decrease to the fair value of effective portion of hedges — net included in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in our condensed consolidated balance sheet during the quarter ended June 30, 2008. These adjustments are primarily due to the inclusion of nonperformance risk (i.e., credit spreads) in our valuation models related to certain of our cross-currency swap derivative instruments (see Note 14 — Financial Instruments and Commodity Contracts).

FASB Statement No. 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. FASB Statement No. 157 will be the single source in GAAP for the definition of fair value, except for the fair value of leased property as defined in FASB Statement No. 13, for purposes of lease classification or measurement. FASB Statement No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between (1) market participant assumptions developed based on market data obtained from independent sources (observable inputs) and (2) an entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions developed based on the best information available in the circumstances (unobservable inputs). The fair value hierarchy consists of three broad levels, which gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under FASB Statement No. 157 are described as follows:

Level 1 — Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities;

Level 2 — Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly; and

Level 3 — Inputs that are unobservable for the asset or liability.

The following section describes the valuation methodologies we used to measure our various financial instruments at fair value, including an indication of the level in the fair value hierarchy in which each instrument is generally classified:

Derivative contracts

For certain of our derivative contracts whose fair values are based upon trades in liquid markets, such as aluminum forward contracts and options, valuation model inputs can generally be verified and valuation techniques do not involve significant judgment. The fair values of such financial instruments are generally classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

The majority of our derivative contracts are valued using industry-standard models that use observable market inputs as their basis, such as time value, forward interest rates, volatility factors, and current (spot) and forward market prices for foreign exchange rates. We generally classify these instruments within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Such derivatives include interest rate swaps, cross currency swaps, foreign currency forward contracts and certain energy-related forward contracts (e.g., natural gas).

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

We classify derivative contracts that are valued based on models with significant unobservable market inputs as Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. These derivatives include certain of our energy-related forward contracts (e.g., electricity) and certain foreign currency forward contracts. Models for these fair value measurements include inputs based on estimated future prices for periods beyond the term of the quoted prices.

FASB Statement No. 157 requires that for Level 2 and 3 of the fair value hierarchy, where appropriate, valuations are adjusted for various factors such as liquidity, bid/offer spreads and credit considerations (nonperformance risk).

The following table presents our assets and liabilities that are measured and recognized at fair value on a recurring basis classified under the appropriate level of the fair value hierarchy as of June 30, 2008 (in millions)

	Fair Value Measurements Using									
	Lev	el 1	L	evel 2	Level			Total		
Successor:										
Assets — Derivative Instruments	\$	_	\$	208	\$	22	\$	230		
Liabilities — Derivative Instruments	\$	_	\$	(270)	\$	(7)	\$	(277)		

Financial instruments classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy represent derivative contracts (primarily energy-related and certain foreign currency forward contracts) in which at least one significant unobservable input is used in the valuation model. The following table presents a reconciliation of activity for such derivative contracts on a net basis (in millions).

	Beginning Balance April 1, 2008	Net Realized/ Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Earnings(B)	Net Realized/ Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)(C)	Purchases, Issuances and Settlements	Transfers in and/or (Out) of Level 3	Ending Balance June 30, 2008	Net Change in Unrealized Gains (Losses) Relating to Instruments Still Held at June 30, 2008(D)
Successor: Derivative instruments(A)	\$11	<u>\$(1)</u>	<u>\$9</u>	<u>\$(5)</u>	\$1	\$15	<u>\$7</u>

⁽A) Represents derivative assets net of derivative liabilities.

⁽B) Included in (Gain) loss on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net.

⁽C) Included in Change in fair value of effective portion of hedges — net in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of shareholder's equity.

⁽D) Represents unrealized gains (losses) relating to assets and liabilities classified as Level 3 included in (Gain) loss on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

16. Other (Income) Expenses — Net

Other (income) expenses — net is comprised of the following (in millions).

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 Successor			6, 2007 ough 60, 2007	 April 1, 2007 Through May 15, 2007 Predecessor
Exchange (gains) losses — net	\$	20	\$	7	\$ 4
Restructuring charges (recoveries) — net		(1)		1	1
Impairment charges on long-lived assets		1		_	_
(Gain) loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment — net		(1)		_	_
Other — net		3		3	(1)
Other (income) expenses — net	\$	22	\$	11	\$ 4

17. Income Taxes

We provide for income taxes using the liability method in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. In accordance with APB Opinion No. 28, Interim Financial Reporting, and FASB Interpretation No. 18, Accounting for Income Taxes in Interim Periods, the provision for taxes on income recognizes our estimate of the effective tax rate expected to be applicable for the full fiscal year, adjusted for the impact of any discrete events, which are reported in the period in which they occur. Each quarter, we re-evaluate our estimated tax expense for the year and make adjustments for changes in the estimated tax rate. Additionally, we evaluate the realizability of our deferred tax assets on a quarterly basis. Our evaluation considers all positive and negative evidence and factors, such as the scheduled reversal of temporary differences, historical and projected future taxable income or losses, and prudent and feasible tax planning strategies.

The Provision (benefit) for taxes on income (loss) for the three months ended June 30, 2008 was based on the estimated effective tax rates applicable for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2009, after considering items specifically related to the interim period. The Provision (benefit) for taxes on income (loss) for (1) the periods from May 16, 2007 through June 30, 2007 (Successor) (as restated) and April 1, 2007 through May 15, 2007 (Predecessor) were based on the estimated effective tax rates applicable for the year ended March 31, 2008, after considering items specifically related to the interim periods.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

A reconciliation of the Canadian statutory tax rates to our effective tax rates is as follows (in millions).

	June :	Months nded 30, 2008	J1	Iay 16, 2007 Through une 30, 2007 (Restated)		April 1, 2007 Through May 15, 2007
Pre-tax income (loss) before equity in net (income) loss of non-consolidated affiliates and minority interests' share	Suc	cessor 64	\$	Successor (19)		Predecessor (95)
Canadian statutory tax rate	9	31%	Ψ	33%	Ψ	33%
Provision (benefit) at the Canadian statutory rate	S	20	\$	(6)	S	(31)
Increase (decrease) for taxes on income (loss) resulting from:	_		-	(0)	-	(0.1)
Exchange translation items		9		19		23
Exchange remeasurement of deferred income taxes		20		3		3
Change in valuation allowances		3		21		13
Expense/income items with no tax effect — net		(4)		(11)		(9)
Enacted tax rate changes		_		(3)		_
Tax rate differences on foreign earnings		(14)		2		2
Uncertain tax positions		1		_		_
Other — net		_		2		3
Provision (benefit) for taxes on income (loss)	\$	35	\$	27	\$	4
Effective tax rate		55%		(142)%		(4)%

Our effective tax rate differs from the Canadian statutory rate primarily due to the following factors: (1) pre-tax foreign currency gains or losses with no tax effect and the tax effect of U.S. dollar denominated currency gains or losses with no pre-tax effect, which is shown above as exchange translation items; (2) the remeasurement of deferred income taxes due to foreign currency changes, which is shown above as exchange remeasurement of deferred income taxes; (3) changes in valuation allowances primarily related to tax losses in certain jurisdictions where we believe it is more likely than not that we will not be able to utilize those losses; and (4) differences between the Canadian statutory and foreign effective tax rates applied to entities in different jurisdictions shown above as tax rate differences on foreign earnings.

Tax Uncertainties

Adoption of FASB Interpretation No. 48

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for income taxes, by prescribing a minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2008, our unrecognized tax benefits increased less than \$1 million as a result of tax positions taken during a prior period. Our reserves for uncertain tax positions totaled \$61 million as of both June 30, 2008 and March 31, 2008. As of both June 30, 2008 and March 31, 2008, the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective income tax rate in future periods based on anticipated settlement dates is \$44 million.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

Tax authorities are currently examining certain of our prior years' tax returns for 1999-2006. We are evaluating potential adjustments related to certain items and we anticipate that it is reasonably possible that settlement of the examinations will result in a payment in the range of up to \$4 million and a corresponding decrease in unrecognized tax benefits by March 31, 2009.

Separately, we are awaiting a court ruling regarding the utilization of certain operating losses. We anticipate that it is reasonably possible that this ruling will result in a \$14 million decrease in unrecognized tax benefits by March 31, 2009 related to this matter. We have fully funded this contingent liability through a judicial deposit, which is included in Other long-term assets — third parties since January 2007

With the exception of the ongoing tax examinations described above, we are no longer subject to any income tax examinations by any tax authorities for years before 2001. With few exceptions, tax returns for all jurisdictions for all tax years after 2000 are subject to examination by taxing authorities.

Our continuing practice and policy is to record potential interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in our *Provision (benefit) for taxes on income (loss)*. As of June 30, 2008 and March 31, 2008, we had \$16 million and \$14 million accrued for potential interest on income taxes, respectively. For the three months ended June 30, 2008 and for the periods from May 16, 2007 through June 30, 2007; and from April 1, 2007 through May 15, 2007; our *Provision (benefit) for taxes on income (loss)* included a charge for an additional \$2 million, \$2 million and less than \$1 million of potential interest, respectively.

18. Commitments and Contingencies

Primary Supplier

Alcan is our primary supplier of metal inputs, including prime and sheet ingot. The table below shows our purchases from Alcan as a percentage of our total combined metal purchases.

	Three Months	May 16, 2007	April 1, 2007
	Ended	Through	Through
	June 30, 2008	June 30, 2007	May 15, 2007
	Successor	Successor	Predecessor
Purchases from Alcan as a percentage of total metal			
purchases in kt(A)	35%	34%	34%
	_		_

(A) One kilotonne (kt) is 1,000 metric tonnes. One metric tonne is equivalent to 2,204.6 pounds.

Legal Proceedings

Reynolds Boat Case. As previously disclosed, we and Alcan were defendants in a case in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington, in Tacoma, Washington, case number C04-0175RJB. Plaintiffs were Reynolds Metals Company, Alcoa, Inc. and National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh PA. The case was tried before a jury beginning on May 1, 2006 under implied warranty theories, based on allegations that from 1998 to 2001 we and Alcan sold certain aluminum products that were ultimately used for marine applications and were unsuitable for such applications. The jury reached a verdict on May 22, 2006 against us and Alcan for approximately \$60 million, and the court later awarded Reynolds and Alcoa approximately \$16 million in prejudgment interest and court costs.

The case was settled during July 2006 as among us, Alcan, Reynolds, Alcoa and their insurers for \$71 million. We contributed approximately \$1 million toward the settlement, and the remaining \$70 million was funded by our insurers. Although the settlement was substantially funded by our insurance carriers, certain of them have reserved the right to request a refund from us, after reviewing details of the plaintiffs' damages to determine if they include costs of a nature not covered under the insurance contracts. Of the \$70 million

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

funded, \$39 million is in dispute with and under further review by certain of our insurance carriers. In the quarter ended September 30, 2006, we posted a letter of credit in the amount of approximately \$10 million in favor of one of those insurance carriers, while we resolve the extent of coverage of the costs included in the settlement. On October 8, 2007, we received a letter from these insurers stating that they have completed their review and they are requesting a refund of the \$39 million plus interest. We reviewed the insurers' position, and on January 7, 2008, we sent a letter to the insurers rejecting their position that Novelis is not entitled to insurance coverage for the judgment against Novelis.

Since our fiscal 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K was not filed until August 25, 2006, we recognized a liability for the full settlement amount of \$71 million on December 31, 2005, included in Accrued expenses and other current liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet, with a corresponding charge against earnings. We also recognized an insurance receivable included in Prepaid expenses and other current assets on our consolidated balance sheet of \$31 million, with a corresponding increase to earnings. Although \$70 million of the settlement was funded by our insurers, we only recognized an insurance receivable to the extent that coverage was not in dispute. This resulted in a net charge of \$40 million during the quarter ended December 31, 2005.

In July 2006, we contributed and paid \$1 million to our insurers who subsequently paid the entire settlement amount of \$71 million to the plaintiffs. Accordingly, during the quarter ended September 30, 2006, we reversed the previously recorded insurance receivable of \$31 million and reduced our recorded liability by the same amount plus the \$1 million contributed by us. The remaining liability of \$39 million represents the amount of the settlement claim that was funded by our insurers but is still in dispute with and under further review by the parties as described above. The \$39 million liability is included in Accrued expenses and other current liabilities in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2008 and March 31, 2008.

While the ultimate resolution of the nature and extent of any costs not covered under our insurance contracts cannot be determined with certainty or reasonably estimated at this time, if there is an adverse outcome with respect to insurance coverage, and we are required to reimburse our insurers, it could have a material impact on our cash flows in the period of resolution. Alternatively, the ultimate resolution could be favorable, such that insurance coverage is in excess of the net expense that we have recognized to date. This would result in our recording a non-cash gain in the period of resolution, and this non-cash gain could have a material impact on our results of operations during the period in which such a determination is made.

Coca-Cola Lawsuits. A lawsuit was commenced against Novelis Corporation on February 15, 2007 by Coca-Cola Bottler's Sales and Services Company LLC (CCBSS) in state court in Georgia. In addition, a lawsuit was commenced against Novelis Corporation and Alcan Corporation on April 3, 2007 by Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc., Enterprises Acquisition Company, Inc., The Coca-Cola Company and The Coca-Cola Trading Company, Inc. (collectively CCE) in federal court in Georgia. Novelis intends to defend these claims vigorously.

CCBSS is a consortium of Coca-Cola bottlers across the United States, including Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc. CCBSS alleges that Novelis Corporation breached an aluminum can stock supply agreement between the parties, and seeks monetary damages in an amount to be determined at trial and a declaration of its rights under the agreement. The agreement includes a "most favored nations" provision regarding certain pricing matters. CCBSS alleges that Novelis Corporation breached the terms of the most favored nations provision. The dispute will likely turn on the facts that are presented to the court by the parties and the court's finding as to how certain provisions of the agreement ought to be interpreted. If CCBSS were to prevail in this litigation, the amount of damages would likely be material. Novelis Corporation has filed its answer and the parties are proceeding with discovery.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

The claim by CCE seeks monetary damages in an amount to be determined at trial for breach of a prior aluminum can stock supply agreement between CCE and Novelis Corporation, successor to the rights and obligations of Alcan Aluminum Corporation under the agreement. According to its terms, that agreement with CCE terminated in 2006. The CCE supply agreement included a "most favored nations" provision regarding certain pricing matters. CCE alleges that Novelis Corporation's entry into a supply agreement with Anheuser-Busch, Inc. breached the "most favored nations" provision of the CCE supply agreement. Novelis Corporation moved to dismiss the complaint and on March 26, 2008, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia issued an order granting Novelis Corporation's motion dismiss CCE's claim. On April 24, 2008, CCE filed a notice of appeal of the court's order with the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit and filed its appellate brief on July 11, 2008. On August 13, 2008, Novelis Corporation filed its response brief with the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit. If CCE were to ultimately prevail in this appeal and litigation, the amount of damages would likely be material. We have not recorded any reserves for these matters.

Anheuser-Busch Litigation. On September 19, 2006, Novelis Corporation filed a lawsuit against Anheuser-Busch, Inc. (Anheuser-Busch) in federal court in Ohio. Anheuser-Busch subsequently filed suit against Novelis Corporation and the Company in federal court in Missouri. On January 3, 2007, Anheuser-Busch's suit was transferred to the Ohio federal court.

Novelis Corporation alleged that Anheuser-Busch breached the existing multi-year aluminum can stock supply agreement between the parties, and sought monetary damages and declaratory relief. Among other claims, we asserted that since entering into the supply agreement, Anheuser-Busch has breached its confidentiality obligations and there has been a structural change in market conditions that requires a change to the pricing provisions under the agreement.

In its complaint, Anheuser-Busch asked for a declaratory judgment that Anheuser-Busch is not obligated to modify the supply agreement as requested by Novelis Corporation, and that Novelis Corporation must continue to perform under the existing supply agreement.

On January 18, 2008, Anheuser-Busch filed a motion for summary judgment. On May 22, 2008, the court granted Anheuser-Busch's motion for summary judgment. Novelis Corporation filed a notice of appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit on June 20, 2008. Novelis Corporation has continued to perform under the supply agreement during the litigation.

ARCO Aluminum Complaint. On May 24, 2007, Arco Aluminum Inc. (ARCO) filed a complaint against Novelis Corporation and Novelis Inc. in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky. ARCO and Novelis are partners in a joint venture rolling mill located in Logan, Kentucky. In the complaint, ARCO seeks to resolve a perceived dispute over management and control of the joint venture following Hindalco's acquisition of Novelis.

ARCO alleges that its consent was required in connection with Hindalco's acquisition of Novelis. Failure to obtain consent, ARCO alleges, has put us in default of the joint venture agreements, thereby triggering certain provisions in those agreements. The provisions include a reversion of the production management at the joint venture to Logan Aluminum from Novelis, and a reduction of the board of directors of the entity that manages the joint venture from seven members (four appointed by Novelis and three appointed by ARCO) to six members (three appointed by each of Novelis and ARCO).

ARCO seeks a court declaration that (1) Novelis and its affiliates are prohibited from exercising any managerial authority or control over the joint venture, (2) Novelis' interest in the joint venture is limited to an economic interest only and (3) ARCO has authority to act on behalf of the joint venture. Or, alternatively, ARCO is seeking a reversion of the production management function to Logan Aluminum, and a change in the composition of the board of directors of the entity that manages the joint venture. Novelis filed its answer to the complaint on July 16, 2007.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

On July 3, 2007, ARCO filed a motion for partial summary judgment with respect to one of the counts of its complaint relating to the claim that Novelis breached the joint venture agreement by not seeking ARCO's consent. On July 30, 2007, Novelis filed a motion to hold ARCO's motion for summary judgment in abeyance (pending further discovery), along with a demand for a jury. On February 14, 2008, the judge issued an order granting our motion to hold ARCO's summary judgment motion in abeyance. Pursuant to this ruling, management and the board of the joint venture are conducting their activities as

Environmental Matters

The following describes certain environmental matters relating to our business. None of the environmental matters include government sanctions of \$100,000 or more.

We are involved in proceedings under the U.S. Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, also known as CERCLA or Superfund, or analogous state provisions regarding liability arising from the usage, storage, treatment or disposal of hazardous substances and wastes at a number of sites in the United States, as well as similar proceedings under the laws and regulations of the other jurisdictions in which we have operations, including Brazil and certain countries in the European Union. Many of these jurisdictions have laws that impose joint and several liability, without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct, for the costs of environmental remediation, natural resource damages, third party claims, and other expenses, on those persons who contributed to the release of a hazardous substance into the environment. In addition, we are, from time to time, subject to environmental reviews and investigations by relevant governmental authorities.

As described further in the following paragraph, we have established procedures for regularly evaluating environmental loss contingencies, including those arising from such environmental reviews and investigations and any other environmental remediation or compliance matters. We believe we have a reasonable basis for evaluating these environmental loss contingencies, and we believe we have made reasonable estimates of the costs that are likely to be borne by us for these environmental loss contingencies. Accordingly, we have established reserves based on our reasonable estimates for the currently anticipated costs associated with these environmental matters. We estimate that the undiscounted remaining clean-up costs related to all of our known environmental matters as of June 30, 2008 will be approximately \$47 million. Of this amount, \$33 million is included in *Other long-term liabilities*, with the remaining \$14 million included in *Accrued expenses and other current liabilities* in our condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2008. Management has reviewed the environmental matters, including those for which we assumed liability as a result of our spin-off from Alcan. As a result of this review, management has determined that the currently anticipated costs associated with these environmental matters will not, individually or in the aggregate, materially impair our operations or inquidity.

With respect to environmental loss contingencies, we record a loss contingency on a non-discounted basis whenever such contingency is probable and reasonably estimable. The evaluation model includes all asserted and unasserted claims that can be reasonably identified. Under this evaluation model, the liability and the related costs are quantified based upon the best available evidence regarding actual liability loss and cost estimates. Except for those loss contingencies where no estimate can reasonably be made, the evaluation model is fact-driven and attempts to estimate the full costs of each claim.

Management reviews the status of, and estimated liability related to, pending claims and civil actions on a quarterly basis. The estimated costs in respect of such reported liabilities are not offset by amounts related to cost-sharing between parties, insurance, indemnification arrangements or contribution from other potentially responsible parties unless otherwise noted.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

Puncil Tax Mattau

Primarily as a result of legal proceedings with Brazil's Ministry of Treasury regarding certain taxes in South America, as of June 30, 2008 and March 31, 2008, we had cash deposits aggregating approximately \$42 million and \$36 million, respectively, in judicial depository accounts pending finalization of the related cases. The depository accounts are in the name of the Brazilian government and will be expended towards these legal proceedings or released to us, depending on the outcome of the legal cases. These deposits are included in *Other long-term assets — third parties* in our accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. In addition, we are involved in several disputes with Brazil's Minister of Treasury about various forms of manufacturing taxes and social security contributions, for which we have made no judicial deposits but for which we have established reserves ranging from \$8 million to \$105 million as of June 30, 2008. In total, these reserves approximate \$128 million and \$111 million as of June 30, 2008 and March 31, 2008, respectively, and are included in *Other long-term liabilities* in our accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

On July 16, 2008, the second instance court in Brazil ruled in favor of the Ministry of Treasury in the amount of \$5.5 million in one of these tax disputes. We have 30 days to file a notice of appeal with the court and are currently reviewing the court's order to understand the reasoning behind the decision and evaluate our grounds for appeal.

Guarantees of Indebtedness

We have issued guarantees on behalf of certain of our subsidiaries and non-consolidated affiliates, including

- · certain of our wholly-owned subsidiaries and
- Aluminium Norf GmbH, which is a fifty percent (50%) owned joint venture that does not meet the requirements for consolidation under FASB Interpretation No. 46 (Revised), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities.

In the case of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, the indebtedness guaranteed is for trade accounts payable to third parties. Some of the guarantees have annual terms while others have no expiration and have termination notice requirements. Neither we nor any of our subsidiaries or non-consolidated affiliates holds any assets of any third parties as collateral to offset the potential settlement of these guarantees.

Since we consolidate wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries in our condensed consolidated financial statements, all liabilities associated with trade payables and short-term debt facilities for these entities are already included in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

The following table discloses information about our obligations under guarantees of indebtedness of others as of June 30, 2008 (in millions). We did not have any obligations under guarantees of indebtedness related to our majority-owned subsidiaries as of June 30, 2008.

Type of Entity	Potential Future Payment	Carrying Value
Wholly-owned subsidiaries	\$ 83	\$ 60
Aluminium Norf GmbH	\$ 16	S —

We have no retained or contingent interest in assets transferred to an unconsolidated entity or similar entity or similar arrangement that serves as credit, liquidity or market risk support to that entity for such assets.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

19. Segment and Major Customer Information

Segment Information

Due in part to the regional nature of supply and demand of aluminum rolled products and in order to best serve our customers, we manage our activities on the basis of geographical areas and are organized under four operating segments: North America, Europe, Asia and South America.

We measure the profitability and financial performance of our operating segments, based on Segment Income, in accordance with FASB Statement No. 131, Disclosure About the Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information. Segment Income provides a measure of our underlying segment results that is in line with our portfolio approach to risk management. We define Segment Income as earnings before: (a) interest expense and amortization of debt issuance costs — net; (b) unrealized gains (losses) on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net; (c) realized gains (losses) on corporate derivative instruments— net; (d) depreciation and amortization; (e) impairment charges on long-lived assets; (f) minority interests' share; (g) adjustments to reconcile our proportional share of Segment Income from non-consolidated affiliates to income as determined on the equity method of accounting; (h) restructuring recoveries (charges)— net; (i) gains or losses on sales of property, plant and equipment and businesses — net; (j) corporate selling, general and administrative expenses; (k) other costs — net; (l) litigation settlement — net of insurance recoveries; (m) sale transaction fees; (n) provision or benefit for taxes on income (loss) and (o) cumulative effect of accounting change — net of tax.

Net sales and expenses are measured in accordance with the policies and procedures described in Note 1 — Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies to our consolidated and combined financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended March 31, 2008.

We do not treat all derivative instruments as hedges under FASB Statement No. 133. Accordingly, changes in fair value are recognized immediately in earnings, which results in the recognition of fair value as a gain or loss in advance of the contract settlement. In the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations, changes in fair value of derivative instruments not accounted for as hedges under FASB Statement No. 133 are recognized in (Gain) loss on change in fair value of derivative instruments—net. These gains or losses may or may not result from cash settlement. For Segment Income purposes we only include the impact of the derivative gains or losses to the extent they are settled in cash (i.e. realized) during that period.

The following is a description of our operating segments:

- North America. Headquartered in Cleveland, Ohio, this segment manufactures aluminum sheet and light gauge products and operates 11 plants, including two fully dedicated recycling facilities, in two countries.
- · Europe. Headquartered in Zurich, Switzerland, this segment manufactures aluminum sheet and light gauge products and operates 14 plants, including one recycling facility, in six countries.
- · Asia. Headquartered in Seoul, South Korea, this segment manufactures aluminum sheet and light gauge products and operates three plants in two countries.
- South America. Headquartered in Sao Paulo, Brazil, this segment comprises bauxite mining, alumina refining, smelting operations, power generation, carbon products, aluminum sheet and light gauge products and operates four plants in Brazil.

Adjustment to Eliminate Proportional Consolidation. The financial information for our segments includes the results of our non-consolidated affiliates on a proportionately consolidated basis, which is consistent with the way we manage our business segments. However, under GAAP, these non-consolidated affiliates are accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Therefore, in order to reconcile the financial information for the segments shown in the tables below to the relevant GAAP-based measures, we

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

must remove our proportional share of each line item that we included in the segment amounts. See Note 7 — Investment in and Advances to Non-Consolidated Affiliates and Related Party Transactions for further information about these non-consolidated affiliates.

The tables below show selected segment financial information (in millions). The Corporate and Other column in the tables below includes functions that are managed directly from our corporate office, which focuses on strategy development and oversees governance, policy, legal compliance, human resources and finance matters. It also includes consolidating and other elimination accounts.

Selected Segment Financial Information

Total Assets	Nor Amer		Europe	Asia	South merica	 Adjustment to Eliminate Proportional Consolidation		porate Other	 Total
(Successor)									
June 30, 2008	\$ 3	3,996	\$ 4,231	\$ 1,129	\$ 1,544	\$ (193)	\$	262	\$ 10,969
March 31, 2008 (Restated)	\$ 3	3,888	\$ 4.171	\$ 1.081	\$ 1.478	\$ (199)	S	263	\$ 10.682

Selected Operating Results Three Months Ended June 30, 2008	orth nerica	Europe	Asia	South America	_	Eliminate Proportional Consolidation	Corporate and Other	Total
(Successor)								
Net sales (to third parties)	\$ 1,083	\$ 1,218	\$ 510	\$ 29)5	\$ (3)	\$ —	\$ 3,103
Intersegment sales	_	1	1	-	_	(2)	_	_
Segment Income (Loss)	42	111	31	4	17	_	_	231
Depreciation and amortization	42	63	15	1	7	(22)	1	116
Capital expenditures	7	19	5		6	(4)	_	33

Selected Operating Results May 16, 2007 Through June 30, 2007	orth nerica	E	urope	Asia	uth erica_	 Adjustment to Eliminate Proportional Consolidation	Corporate and Other	Total
(Successor)								
Net sales (to third parties)	\$ 574	\$	593	\$ 246	\$ 134	\$ _	\$ —	\$ 1,547
Intersegment sales	1		_	3	16	_	(20)	_
Segment Income (Loss)	23		43	(2)	22	_		86
Depreciation and amortization	21		22	8	7	(5)	_	53
Capital expenditures	5		12	4	3	(3)	1	22

Selected Operating Results April 1, 2007 Through May 15, 2007	orth nerica	E	urope	Asia	South merica	_	Adjustment to Eliminate Proportional Consolidation	Corporate and Other	Total
(Predecessor)									
Net sales (to third parties)	\$ 446	\$	510	\$ 216	\$ 109	\$	_	\$ —	\$ 1,281
Intersegment sales	_		_	1	7		_	(8)	_
Segment Income (Loss)	(24)		32	6	18		_	_	32
Depreciation and amortization	7		11	7	5		(3)	1	28
Capital expenditures	4		8	4	3		(3)	1	17

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

The following table shows the reconciliation from Total Segment Income to Net income (loss) (in millions).

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2008		May 16, 2007 Through June 30, 2007		April 1, 2007 Through May 15, 2007	
	Succ	essor	(Restated) Successor		Predecessor	
Total Segment Income	\$	231	\$ 8	6	\$ 32	
Interest expense and amortization of debt issuance costs — net		(40)	(2	5)	(26)	
Unrealized gains (losses) on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net(A)		21	(1	5)	5	
Realized gains (losses) on corporate derivative instruments — net		_		8	(3)	
Depreciation and amortization		(116)	(5	3)	(28)	
Impairment charges on long-lived assets		(1)	-	_	_	
Minority interests' share		(2)		2	1	
Adjustment to eliminate proportional consolidation(B)		(18)	(9)	(7)	
Restructuring recoveries (charges) — net		1	(1)	(1)	
Gain (loss) on sales of property, plant and equipment and businesses — net		1	-	-	_	
Corporate selling, general and administrative expenses		(14)	(8)	(35)	
Other costs — net		(3)	(3)	1	
Sale transaction fees		_	-	-	(32)	
Benefit (provision) for taxes on income (loss)		(35)	(2	7)	(4)	
Net income (loss)	\$	25	\$ (4	5)	\$ (97)	

(A) Unrealized gains (losses) on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net represents the portion of gains (losses) that were not settled in cash during the period. Total realized and unrealized gains (losses) are shown in the table below and are included in the aggregate each period in (Gain) loss on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net on our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

	Ended June 30, 2008	Through June 30, 2007	Through May 15, 2007
(Gains) losses on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net:	Successor	Successor	Predecessor
Realized and included in Segment Income	\$(45)	\$(21)	\$(18)
Realized on corporate derivative instruments	_	(8)	3
Unrealized	(21)	15	(5)
(Gains) losses on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net	\$(66)	\$(14)	\$(20)

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

(B) Our financial information for our segments (including Segment Income) includes the results of our non-consolidated affiliates on a proportionately consolidated basis, which is consistent with the way we manage our business segments. However, under GAAP, these non-consolidated affiliates are accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Therefore, in order to reconcile Total Segment Income to Net income (loss), the proportional Segment Income of these non-consolidated affiliates is removed from Total Segment Income, net of our share of their net after-tax results, which is reported as Equity in net (income) loss of non-consolidated affiliates on our condensed consolidated statements of operations. See Note 7 — Investment in and Advances to Non-Consolidated Affiliates and Related Party Transactions for further information about these non-consolidated affiliates.

Information about Major Customers

All of our operating segments had Net sales to Rexam Plc (Rexam), our largest customer. The table below shows our net sales to Rexam as a percentage of total Net sales.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2008	May 16, 2007 Through June 30, 2007	April 1, 2007 Through May 15, 2007						
Successor	Successor	Predecessor						
15.7%	15.8%	13.5%						

Net sales to Rexam as a percentage of total net sales

20. Supplemental Guarantor Information

In connection with the issuance of our Senior Notes, certain of our wholly-owned subsidiaries provided guarantees of the Senior Notes. These guarantees are full and unconditional as well as joint and several. The guarantor subsidiaries (the Guarantors) are comprised of the majority of our businesses in Canada, the U.S., the U.K., Brazil and Switzerland, as well as certain businesses in Germany. Certain Guarantors may be subject to restrictions on their ability to distribute earnings to Novelis Inc. (the Parent). The remaining subsidiaries (the Non-Guarantors) of the Parent are not guarantors of the Senior Notes.

The following information presents condensed consolidating statements of operations, consolidating balance sheets and consolidating statements of cash flows of the Parent, the Guarantors, and the Non-Guarantors. Investments include investment in and advances to non-consolidated affiliates as well as investments in net assets of divisions included in the Parent, and have been presented using the equity method of accounting.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

Novelis Inc.

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations (In millions)

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 (Successor)				
	Parent	Guarantors	Non- Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net sales	\$ 395	\$ 2,582	\$ 836	\$ (710)	\$ 3,103
Cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown below)	387	2,377	777	(710)	2,831
Selling, general and administrative expenses	_	62	22	_	84
Depreciation and amortization	6	89	21	_	116
Research and development expenses	8	3	1	_	12
Interest expense and amortization of debt issuance costs — net	7	29	4	_	40
(Gain) loss on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net	_	(62)	(4)	_	(66)
Equity in net (income) loss of affiliates	(32)	2	_	32	2
Other (income) expenses — net	(7)	13	16	_	22
	369	2,513	837	(678)	3,041
Income (loss) before provision (benefit) for taxes on income (loss) and minority interests' share	26	69	(1)	(32)	62
Provision (benefit) for taxes on income (loss)	1	33	1	_	35
Income (loss) before minority interests' share	25	36	(2)	(32)	27
Minority interests' share			(2)		(2)
Net income (loss)	\$ 25	\$ 36	\$ (4)	\$ (32)	\$ 25

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

Novelis Inc.

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations (In millions)

Restated		Guarantors	Non- Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net sales	\$ 245	\$ 1,347	\$ 419	\$ (464)	\$ 1,547
Cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown below)	247	1,251	402	(464)	1,436
Selling, general and administrative expenses	5	25	12	_	42
Depreciation and amortization	3	38	12	_	53
Research and development expenses	2	7	4	_	13
Interest expense and amortization of debt issuance costs — net	3	20	2	_	25
(Gain) loss on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net	(13)	(4)	3	_	(14)
Equity in net (income) loss of affiliates	25	1	_	(25)	1
Other (income) expenses — net	(4)	14	1		11
	268	1,352	436	(489)	1,567
Income (loss) before provision (benefit) for taxes on income (loss) and minority interests' share	(23)	(5)	(17)	25	(20)
Provision (benefit) for taxes on income (loss)	22	5			27
Income (loss) before minority interests' share	(45)	(10)	(17)	25	(47)
Minority interests' share			2		2
Net income (loss)	\$ (45)	\$ (10)	\$ (15)	\$ 25	\$ (45)

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

Novelis Inc.

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations (In millions)

	April 1, 2007 Through May 15, 2007 (Predecessor)					
	Parent	Guarantors	Non- Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated	
Net sales	\$ 129	\$ 1,020	\$ 359	\$ (227)	\$ 1,281	
Cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown below)	131	961	340	(227)	1,205	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	29	51	15	_	95	
Depreciation and amortization	2	18	8	_	28	
Research and development expenses	5	1	_	_	6	
Interest expense and amortization of debt issuance costs — net	3	20	3	_	26	
(Gain) loss on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net	(2)	(19)	1	_	(20)	
Equity in net (income) loss of non-affiliates	29	(1)	_	(29)	(1)	
Sale transaction fees	32	_	_	_	32	
Other (income) expenses — net	(3)	9	(2)		4	
	226	1,040	365	(256)	1,375	
Income (loss) before provision (benefit) for taxes on income (loss) and minority interests' share	(97)	(20)	(6)	29	(94)	
Provision (benefit) for taxes on income (loss)		3	1		4	
Income (loss) before minority interests' share	(97)	(23)	(7)	29	(98)	
Minority interests' share	_	_	1	_	1	
Net income (loss)	\$ (97)	\$ (23)	\$ (6)	\$ 29	\$ (97)	

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

Novelis Inc.

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet (In millions)

Table Tabl			-		As of June 30, 2008	(Successor)	
Carron asses			Parent	Guarantors	Non- Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
Cach and cach equivalents		ASSETS					
Accounts receivable — net of allowances							
— third parties			\$ 14	\$ 161	\$ 121	s —	\$ 296
Penale parties 1515 200 24 302 20 24 202 2							
Intention is						_	1,577
Pepala depenses and other current assets							27
Current portion of fair value of derivative instruments — 183 22 (7) Total current assets — 105 6 — Total current assets 645 2,913 1,123 809 3 Goodwall cultiments 1,679 1,819 — 1 Goodwall cultiments — 5,686 — — 1 Investments 3,652 9,973 1 3,652 — — Elevation come tax assets 3,818 1,52 10 — — 1 0 — Defered income tax assets 1 3,652 9,07 1 3,652 9,07 1 3,652 9,07 1 3,652 9,07 1 3,652 9,07 1 1 1 1 1 3,652 9,07 1 1 2 2 1 3,652 9,07 3,50 3 1 3 5 3 1 3 2 3 3 1 3 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>1,577</td>				,		_	1,577
Defermed income tax assets			4			_	86
Total current assets			_		22	(7)	198
Property plant and equipment—net 172 2,430 651	Deferred income tax assets			105	6	_	111
Condesign	Total current assets		645	2,913	1,123	(809)	3,872
Cooked	Property, plant and equipment — net		172	2,430	651	`	3,253
Investments 3,652 3,7			_	1,679	189	_	1,868
Investments 3,652 9,7	Intangible assets — net		_	868	_	_	868
Fair value of derivative instruments — net of current portion			3.652	937	1	(3.652)	938
Deferent income tax assets			- ,				32
State Stat			8			_	9
Total assets				152		(1 471)	129
Current proting of long-term debt \$ 3 \$ 10 \$ 1 \$ 0 \$ 1 \$ 0 \$ 1 \$ 0 \$ 1 \$ 0 \$ 1 \$ 0 \$ 1 \$ 0 \$ 1 \$ 0 <							
Current proting of long-term debt \$ 3 \$ 10 \$ 1 \$ 0 \$ 1 \$ 0 \$ 1 \$ 0 \$ 1 \$ 0 \$ 1 \$ 0 \$ 1 \$ 0 \$ 1 \$ 0 <	****						
Current portion of long-term debt		ILITIES AND SHAREHOLDE	R'S EQUITY				
Short-tem berrowings			e 2	£ 10	¢ 1	e	\$ 14
- — third parties			3 3	\$ 10	5 1	3 —	5 14
— related parties				250	71		430
Accounts payable - third parties 77 926 610 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1							430
— third parties 77 926 610 — 1, — related parties 112 236 125 (412) Accrued expenses and other current liabilities 64 613 138 (10) Deferred income tax liabilities — 46 — — Total current liabilities 261 2,548 965 (805) 2 Long-term debt — net of current portion — — 1,202 273 (1,475) 0 — third parties — — 1,202 273 (1,475) 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 2 2 2 1,475 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td>3</td> <td>336</td> <td>20</td> <td>(363)</td> <td>_</td>			3	336	20	(363)	_
A created parties			77	026	610		1.613
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities 64 613 138 (10) Deferred income tax liabilities 261 2,548 965 (805) 2 Long-term debt—net of current portion							61
Deferred income tax liabilities							805
Total current liabilities						(10)	
Long-term debt — net of current portion - third parties 1,758 694 101 - 2 2 273 (1,475 5 5 5 1,475 5 5 5 1,475 5 5 5 1,475 5 5 5 1,475 5 5 5 1,475 5 5 5 1,475 5 5 1,475 5 5 1,475 5 5 1,475 5 5 1,475 5 5 1,475 5 5 1,475 5 5 1,475 5 5 1,475						(00.5)	46
Heading and the parties 1,758 694 101 - 2 2,73 (1,475 2,75 2,75 1,475 2,75 2			261	2,548	965	(805)	2,969
— related parties — 1,202 273 (1,475) Deferred income tax liabilities — 674 21 — Accrued postretirement benefits 23 303 109 — Other long-term liabilities 184 392 23 — Commitments and contingencies — — 149 — Shareholder's equity Common stock — — — — Additional paid-in capital 3,497 — — — 3,667 Retained earnings/(accumulated deficit)/owner's net investment 5 3,108 559 (3,667) Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) 67 80 (95) 15 Total shareholder's equity 3,569 3,188 464 (3,652) 3,							
Deferred income tax liabilities			,				2,553
Accrued postretirement benefits 23 303 109 — Other long-term liabilities 184 392 23 — 2,226 5,813 1,492 (2,280) 7, Commitments and contingencies Minority interests in equity of consolidated affiliates — — 149 — Shareholder's equity Common stock — — — — Additional paid-in capital 3,497 — — — 3,87 Retained earnings/(accumulated deficit)/owner's net investment 5 3,108 559 (3,667) Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) 67 80 (95) 15 Total shareholder's equity 3,569 3,188 464 (3,652) 3,			_			(1,475)	_
Other long-term liabilities 184 392 23 — 2,226 5,813 1,492 (2,280) 7, Commitments and contingencies Minority interests in equity of consolidated affiliates — — 149 — Shareholder's equity Common stock — — — — — Additional paid-in capital 3,497 — — — 3,667 Retained earnings/(accumulated deficit)/owner's net investment 5 3,108 559 (3,667) Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) 67 80 (95) 15 Total shareholder's equity 3,569 3,188 464 (3,652) 3,			_			_	695
Commitments and contingencies 2,226 5,813 1,492 (2,280) 7.						_	435
Commitments and contingencies	Other long-term liabilities		184	392			599
Shareholder's equity — 149 — Common stock — — — — — — — — — — — — — — 3,497 — — — — 3,267 — — — 3,667 — 3,108 559 (3,667) 3,667 — — — 3,697 — — — 3,697 — — — 3,692 3,188 464 (3,652) 3,387 — — — — — — — — — — 3,692 3,188 464 (3,652) 3,387 — — — — — 3,692 3,188 464 (3,652) 3,382 464 (3,652) 3,382 464 (3,652) 3,382 464 (3,652) 3,382 464 (3,652) 3,382 4,482 4,482 4,482 4,482 4,482 4,482 4,482 4,482			2,226	5,813	1,492	(2,280)	7,251
Shareholder's equity Common stock -	Commitments and contingencies						
Common stock — — — — — — — — A 3,497 — — 3,897 3,788 559 (3,667) 3,667 Retained armings/(accumulated deficit)/owner's net investment 5 3,108 559 (3,667) 3,667 3,669 15	Minority interests in equity of consolidated affiliates				149		149
Common stock — — — — — — — — A 3,497 — — 3,897 3,788 559 (3,667) 3,667 Retained armings/(accumulated deficit)/owner's net investment 5 3,108 559 (3,667) 3,667 3,669 15							
Additional paid-in capital 3,497 — — — 3,867 Retained earnings/(accumulated deficit)/owner's net investment 5 3,108 559 (3,667) Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) 67 80 (95) 15 Total shareholder's equity 3,569 3,188 464 (3,652) 3,							_
Retained earnings/(accumulated deficity) owner's net investment 5 3,108 559 (3,667) Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) 67 80 (95) 15 Total shareholder's equity 3,569 3,188 464 (3,652) 3,						_	3,497
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) 67 80 (95) 15 Total shareholder's equity 3,569 3,188 464 (3,652) 3,						(2.667)	3,497
Total shareholder's equity 3,569 3,188 464 (3,652) 3,							
	·						67
Total liabilities and shareholder's equity \$ 5,795 \$ 9,001 \$ 2,105 \$ (5,932) \$ 10	· ·						3,569
	Total liabilities and shareholder's equity		\$ 5,795	\$ 9,001	\$ 2,105	\$ (5,932)	\$ 10,969

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

Novelis Inc.

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet (In millions)

		As of March 31, 2008 (Successor)			
Restated	Parent	Guarantors	Non- Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
	ASSETS				
Current assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 12	\$ 177	\$ 137	\$	\$ 320
Accounts receivable — net of allowances					
— third parties	38	818	392	_	1,248
— related parties	518	289	34	(810)	3
Inventories	57	993	405	`-'	1,455
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	4	35	19	_	5
Current portion of fair value of derivative instruments	_	186	30	(13)	20:
Deferred income tax assets	_	121	4		12.
Total current assets	629	2,619	1.021	(823)	3.44
Property, plant and equipment — net	175	2,458	724	()	3,35
Goodwill	_	1.680	189	_	1,869
Intangible assets — net	_	888	_	_	888
Investments	3,629	945	1	(3,629)	940
Fair value of derivative instruments — net of current portion	5,02	18	3	(3,027)	2
Deferred income tax assets	10	_	2	_	12
Other long-term assets	1,328	160	135	(1,480)	143
Total assets	\$ 5,771	\$ 8,768	\$ 2,075	\$ (5,932)	\$ 10,682
Current liabilities	ITIES AND SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY				
	\$ 3	\$ 11	S 1	s —	S 1:
Current portion of long-term debt	3 3	\$ 11	5 1	3 —	.5 1.
Short-term borrowings — third parties	_	70	45	_	115
— related parties		370	25	(400)	11;
Accounts payable	3	3/0	23	(400)	_
— third parties	84	925	573	_	1,582
— related parties	110	233	88	(376)	1,36.
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	39	699	129	(17)	850
Deferred income tax liabilities		39	129		39
Total current liabilities	241	2,347	861	(793)	2,656
Long-term debt — net of current portion	. =		404		
— third parties	1,761	698	101	_	2,560
— related parties		1,206	304	(1,510)	70
Deferred income tax liabilities	1	680	20		70
Accrued postretirement benefits	23	297	101	_	42
Other long-term liabilities	222	431	19		672
	2,248	5,659	1,406	(2,303)	7,010
Commitments and contingencies					
Minority interests in equity of consolidated affiliates			149		149
Shareholder's equity					
Common stock	_	_	_	_	_
Additional paid-in capital	3,497	_	_	_	3,49
Retained earnings/(accumulated deficit)/owner's net investment	(20)	3,075	564	(3,639)	(20
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	46	34	(44)	10	40
Total shareholder's equity	3,523	3.109	520	(3,629)	3.523
	\$ 5,771	\$ 8,768	\$ 2.075		\$ 10.682
Total liabilities and shareholder's equity	\$ 5,7/1	\$ 8,768	\$ 2,075	\$ (5,932)	\$ 10,682

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

Novelis Inc.

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows (In millions)

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 (Successor)					
	Parent	Guaran	itors	Non- Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
OPERATING ACTIVITIES						
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 4	\$	(313)	\$ (7)	\$ (35)	\$ (351)
INVESTING ACTIVITIES						
Capital expenditures	(1)		(25)	(7)	_	(33)
Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment	_		1	_	_	1
Changes to investment in and advances to non-consolidated affiliates	_		6	_	_	6
Proceeds from loans receivable — net — related parties	_		8	_	_	8
Net proceeds from settlement of derivative instruments			21	13		34
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(1)		11	6	_	16
FINANCING ACTIVITIES						
Principal repayments						
— third parties	(1)		(2)	(1)	_	(4)
— related parties	_		5	(30)	25	_
Short-term borrowings — net						
— third parties	_		288	25	_	313
— related parties	_		(5)	(5)	10	_
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(1)		286	(11)	35	309
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	2		(16)	(12)		(26)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash balances held in foreign currencies	_			(4)	_	(4)
Cash and cash equivalents — beginning of period	12		177	137	_	326
Cash and cash equivalents — end of period	\$ 14	\$	161	\$ 121	\$ —	\$ 296

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

Novelis Inc.

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows (In millions)

		May 16, 2007 Through June 30, 2007 (Successor)						
	Parent	Non- Guarantors Guarantors		Eliminations	Consolidated			
OPERATING ACTIVITIES								
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 14	\$ (23)	\$ (35)		\$ (44)			
INVESTING ACTIVITIES								
Capital expenditures	(2)	(18)	(2)	_	(22)			
Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment	_	_	1	_	1			
Changes to investment in and advances to non-consolidated affiliates	(40)	1	_	40	1			
Proceeds from loans receivable— net— related parties	_	4	_	_	4			
Net proceeds from settlement of derivative instruments	6	22	1		29			
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(36)	9	_	40	13			
FINANCING ACTIVITIES								
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	92	40	_	(40)	92			
Principal repayments	(7)	(39)	_	_	(46)			
Short-term borrowings — net								
— third parties	(10)	72	21	_	83			
— related parties	(19)	8	11	_	_			
Dividends								
— minority interests	_	_	(1)	_	(1)			
Debt issuance costs	(13)				(13)			
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	43	81	31	(40)	115			
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	21	67	(4)		84			
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash balances held in foreign currencies	_	_	<u> </u>	_	_			
Cash and cash equivalents — beginning of period	8	74	20	_	102			
Cash and cash equivalents — end of period	\$ 29	\$ 141	\$ 16	\$	\$ 186			

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited) — (Continued)

Novelis Inc.

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows (In millions)

		April 1, 2007 Through May 15, 2007 (Predecessor)					
	Parent	Guarantors	Non- Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated		
OPERATING ACTIVITIES							
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ (21)	\$ (181)	\$ (28)	s <u> </u>	\$ (230)		
INVESTING ACTIVITIES	<u> </u>						
Capital expenditures	(1)	(10)	(6)	_	(17)		
Changes to investment in and advances to non-consolidated affiliates	_	1	_	_	1		
Net proceeds from settlement of derivative instruments	(5)	23			18		
Net cash provided by (used in) provided by investing activities	(6)	14	(6)	_	2		
FINANCING ACTIVITIES							
Proceeds from issuance of debt	_	150	_	_	150		
Principal repayments	_	(1)	_	_	(1)		
Short-term borrowings — net							
— third parties	45	9	6	_	60		
— related parties	(15)	11	4	_	_		
Dividends							
— minority interests	_	_	(7)	_	(7)		
Debt issuance costs	(2)	_	_	_	(2)		
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	1				1		
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	29	169	3		201		
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	2	2	(31)	_	(27)		
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash balances held in foreign currencies	_	1	_	_	1		
Cash and cash equivalents — beginning of period	6	71	51		128		
Cash and cash equivalents — end of period	\$ 8	\$ 74	\$ 20	s —	\$ 102		

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

The following information should be read together with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included elsewhere in this quarterly report for a more complete understanding of our financial condition and results of operations. The following discussion contains forward-looking statements that reflect our plans, estimates and beliefs. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in these forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to these differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed below, particularly in "SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND MARKET DATA."

REFERENCES

References herein to "Novelis," the "Company," "we," "our," or "us" refer to Novelis Inc. and its subsidiaries unless the context specifically indicates otherwise. References herein to "Hindalco" refer to Hindalco Industries Limited. In October 2007, the Rio Tinto Group purchased all the outstanding shares of Alcan, Inc. References herein to "Alcan" refer to Rio Tinto Alcan Inc.

References to our Form 10-K/A made throughout this document refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended March 31, 2008, filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on August 11, 2008.

GENERAL

Novelis is the world's leading aluminum rolled products producer based on shipment volume. We produce aluminum sheet and light gauge products for the beverage and food can, transportation, construction and industrial, and foil products markets. As of June 30, 2008, we had operations on four continents: North America; South America; Asia and Europe, through 32 operating plants, one research facility and several market-focused innovation centers in 11 countries. In addition to aluminum rolled products plants, our South American businesses include bauxite mining, alumina refining, primary aluminum smelting and power generation facilities that are integrated with our rolling plants in Brazil. We are the only company of our size and scope focused solely on aluminum rolled products markets and capable of local supply of technologically sophisticated products in all of these geographic regions.

Restatemen

As discussed in Note 2 — Restatement of Financial Statements in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, the Company has restated its condensed consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2008 and for the unaudited period from May 16, 2007 through June 30, 2007 to correct non-cash accounting adjustments to correct errors in our application of purchase accounting for an equity method investment which led to a misstatement of our provision for income taxes during the period we were finalizing our purchase accounting. We also corrected other miscellaneous adjustments that were deemed to be not material by management, either individually or in the aggregate. These adjustments do not have an impact on our compliance with the financial covenants under our 7.25% Senior Notes or under our New Senior Secured Credit Facilities (see Note 9 — Debt to our accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements).

Acquisition of Novelis Common Stock

On May 15, 2007, the Company was acquired by Hindalco through its indirect wholly-owned subsidiary pursuant to a plan of arrangement (the Arrangement) at a price of \$44.93 per share. The aggregate purchase price for all of the Company's common shares was \$3.4 billion and Hindalco also assumed \$2.8 billion of Novelis' debt for a total transaction value of \$6.2 billion. Subsequent to completion of the Arrangement on May 15, 2007, all of our common shares were indirectly held by Hindalco.

As discussed in Note 1 — Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements, the Arrangement was recorded in accordance with Staff

Accounting Bulletin No. 103, Push Down Basis of Accounting Required in Certain Limited Circumstances (SAB No. 103). Accordingly, in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets, the consideration and related costs paid by Hindalco in connection with the acquisition have been "pushed down" to us and have been allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 141, Business Combinations. Due to the impact of push down accounting, the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements and certain note presentations separate the Company's presentation into two distinct periods to indicate the application of two different bases of accounting between the periods presented: (1) the period up to, and including, the acquisition date (April 1, 2007 through May 15, 2007, labeled "Predecessor") and (2) the period after that date (May 16, 2007 through June 30, 2007, labeled "Successor"). The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include a black line division which indicates that the Predecessor and Successor reporting entities shown are not comparable.

NOTE REGARDING COMBINED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING INFORMATION DUE TO THE ACQUISITION

As discussed above, the Arrangement created a new basis of accounting. Under generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP), the condensed consolidated financial statements for the three months ended June 30, 2007 are presented in two distinct periods, as Predecessor and Successor entities are not comparable in all material respects. However, in order to facilitate an understanding of our results of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2008 in comparison with the three months ended June 30, 2007, in this section, our Predecessor results and our Successor results are presented and discussed on a combined basis. The combined results of operations are non-GAAP financial measures, do not include any proforma assumptions or adjustments and should not be used in isolation or substitution of Predecessor and Successor results.

Shown below are combining schedules of (1) shipments and (2) our results of operations for periods allocable to the Successor, Predecessor and the combined presentation for the three months ended June 30, 2007 that we use throughout our MD&A.

007 rd
755
38
793
nei

- (A) One kilotonne (kt) is 1,000 metric tonnes. One metric tonne is equivalent to 2,204.6 pounds.
- (B) Rolled products include tolling (the conversion of customer-owned metal).
- (C) Ingot products include primary ingot in Brazil and Europe, foundry products in Korea, secondary ingot in Europe and other miscellaneous recyclable aluminum.

	Th June (Re	May 16, 2007 Through June 30, 2007 (Restated) Successor		April 1, 2007 Through May 15, 2007		Through		ee Months Ended e 30, 2007 Restated) ombined
Combined Results of Operations (\$ in millions)	Sile	cessor	17000	20307		omonica		
Net sales	\$	1,547	\$	1,281	\$	2,828		
Cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown below)		1,436		1,205		2,641		
Selling, general and administrative expenses		42		95		137		
Depreciation and amortization		53		28		81		
Research and development expenses		13		6		19		
Interest expense and amortization of debt issuance costs — net		25		26		51		
(Gain) loss on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net		(14)		(20)		(34)		
Equity in net (income) loss of non-consolidated affiliates		1		(1)		_		
Sale transaction fees		_		32		32		
Other expenses — net		11		4		15		
		1,567		1,375		2,942		
Income (loss) before provision (benefit) for taxes on income (loss) and minority interests' share		(20)		(94)		(114)		
Provision (benefit) for taxes on income (loss)		27		4		31		
Income (loss) before minority interests' share		(47)		(98)		(145)		
Minority interests' share		2		1		3		
Net income (loss)	\$	(45)	\$	(97)	\$	(142)		

HIGHLIGHTS

Significant highlights, events and factors impacting our business during the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 are presented briefly below. Each is discussed in further detail throughout MD&A.

• Shipments and selected financial information are as follows (in millions, except shipments, which are in kt):

		Three Mont	hs Ended Jur	ne 30,
		2008		2007
	Sı	ccessor		Combined
Shipments (kt)(A):				
Rolled products(B)		777		755
Ingot products(C)		48		38
Total shipments		825		793
Net sales	\$	3,103	\$	2,828
Net income (loss)	\$	25	\$	(142)
Net increase (decrease) in total debt(D)	\$	308	\$	248

- (A) One kilotonne (kt) is 1,000 metric tonnes. One metric tonne is equivalent to 2,204.6 pounds.
- (B) Rolled products include tolling (the conversion of customer-owned metal).
- (C) Ingot products include primary ingot in Brazil and Europe, foundry products in Korea, secondary ingot in Europe and other miscellaneous recyclable aluminum.

- (D) Net increase (decrease) in total debt is measured by comparing the period-end amounts of our total outstanding debt (including short-term borrowings) as shown in our condensed consolidated balance sheets to the previous fiscal year ending balances as of March 31. The net increase (decrease) in total debt excludes the change in unamortized fair value adjustments recorded as part of the Arrangement.
- Rolled products shipments increased the first quarter of fiscal 2009 primarily due to increases in canstock fueled by continued demand in the can markets of all segments, partially offset by decreases in (1) light gauge primarily in North America and Europe as a result of weak market demand, (2) specialty and painted products in Europe as a result of weak market conditions for building-related products and (3) foil stock in Europe and Asia.
- London Metal Exchange (LME) pricing for aluminum (metal) was an average of 6.5% higher during the three months ended June 30, 2008 than the comparable prior year period. Cash prices have trended up at the end of the first quarter. As of June 30, 2008 and 2007, cash prices per metric tonne were \$3,075 and \$2,686, respectively. This trend positively impacted our fiscal 2009 first quarter results as described more fully under Metal Price Lag below.
- Net sales for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 increased from the comparable prior year period due to (1) an increase of \$101 million as a result of 6.5% increase in average LME metal pricing, (2) an increase of \$93 million from higher rolled products volume primarily in North America, South America and Asia, offset by lower volume in Europe, (3) an increase of \$19 million in conversion premiums across all segments, (4) an increase of \$69 million from foreign currency exchange gains primarily in Europe driven by the continued strengthening of the euro against the U.S. dollar, (5) an increase of \$27 million due to a favorable change in the product mix of rolled products and (6) incremental purchase accounting adjustments of \$20 million related to the accretion of can ceiling contract fair value reserves in North America, partially offset by (7) \$60 million of lower metal price lag in North America and Asia. Metal represents approximately 60% 70% of the sales value of our products.
- During the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, we recognized pre-tax gains of \$66 million and \$34 million, respectively, related to the change in fair value of derivative instruments. For segment reporting purposes, Segment Income (defined in Operating Segment Review below) includes approximately \$45 million and \$39 million of cash-settled derivative gains for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.
- During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, input and operational costs were approximately \$48 million higher than in the comparable prior year period primarily as a result of higher energy, freight and alloy costs. These cost increases were offset by a decrease in stock compensation expense of \$45 million and a decrease in sale transaction fees of \$32 million.
- The first quarter of fiscal year 2009 was favorably impacted versus the comparable prior year period by \$18 million for certain income and expense items associated with fair value adjustments recorded at the date of acquisition. The pre-tax impact of these items was primarily driven by the accretion of reserves related to unfavorable contracts (recorded at fair value at the date of acquisition) and inventory adjustments in the prior period that did not occur in the current fiscal year, partially offset by higher depreciation and amortization.
- During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, costs associated with currency exposure, primarily to the Brazilian real, were \$26 million higher than in the comparable prior year period. The average Brazilian real per U.S. dollar strengthened approximately 16% during the first quarter of fiscal 2009 versus the comparable prior year period.
- As of June 30, 2008, our total debt (excluding unamortized fair value adjustments recorded as part of the acquisition by Hindalco) increased by \$308 million from the prior fiscal year end. This increase in debt was driven primarily by an increase in short-term borrowings to fund our working capital requirements.

Income tax expense in the first quarter of 2009 included \$29 million of income tax expense related to exchange translation and re-measurement items, offset by a \$14 million tax benefit associated with statutory tax rate differences on foreign earnings. The prior year period included a net \$68 million of tax expense associated with discrete period items and tax rate differences on foreign earnings.

OUR BUSINESS

Business Model and Key Concepts

Most of our business is conducted under a conversion model, which allows us to pass through increases or decreases in the price of aluminum to our customers. Nearly all of our products have a price structure with two components: (i) a pass-through aluminum price based on the LME plus local market premiums and (ii) a "conversion premium" price on the conversion cost to produce the rolled product and the competitive market conditions for that product.

Metal Price Ceilings

Sales contracts representing approximately 8% and 10% of our total shipments for the first quarters of fiscal 2009 and 2008, respectively, provide for a ceiling over which metal prices could not contractually be passed through to certain customers, unless adjusted. This negatively impacts our margins when the price we pay for metal is above the ceiling price contained in these contracts. During each of the three month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, we were unable to pass through approximately \$78 million of metal purchase costs associated with sales under these contracts. We calculate and report this difference to be approximately the difference between the quoted purchase price on the LME (adjusted for any local premiums and for any price lag associated with purchasing or processing time) and the metal price ceiling in our contracts. Cash flows from operations are negatively impacted by the same amounts, adjusted for any timing difference between customer receipts and vendor payments, and offset partially by reduced income taxes.

Our exposure to metal price ceilings approximates 8% of estimated total shipments for the remainder of fiscal year 2009. Based on a June 30, 2008 aluminum price of \$3,075 per tonne, and our best estimate of a range of shipment volumes, we estimate that we will be unable to pass through aluminum purchase costs of approximately \$240 — \$260 million for the remainder of fiscal 2009 and \$240 — \$260 million in the aggregate thereafter.

In connection with the allocation of purchase price (i.e., total consideration) paid by Hindalco, we established reserves totaling \$655 million as of May 15, 2007 to record these contracts at fair value. Fair value effectively represents the discounted cash flows of the forecasted metal purchase costs in excess of the metal price ceilings contained in these contracts. These reserves are being accreted into Net sales over the remaining lives of the underlying contracts, and this accretion will not impact future cash flows. For the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, we recorded accretion of \$64 million and \$44 million, respectively. As of June 30, 2008, the balance of these reserves is \$321 million.

We employ three strategies to mitigate our risk of rising metal prices that we cannot pass through to certain customers due to metal price ceilings. First, we maximize the amount of our internally supplied metal inputs from our smelting, refining and mining operations in Brazil. Second, we rely on the output from our recycling operations which utilize used beverage cans (UBCs). Both of these sources of aluminum supply have historically provided an offsetting benefit to the can ceiling contracts. We refer to these two sources as our internal hedges.

Beyond our internal hedges described above, our third strategy to mitigate the risk of loss or reduced profitability associated with the metal price ceilings is to purchase derivative instruments on projected aluminum volume requirements above our assumed internal hedge position. We currently purchase aluminum futures and options to hedge our exposure to further metal price increases.

Metal Price Lag

On certain sales contracts we experience timing differences on the pass through of changing aluminum prices based on the difference between the price we pay for aluminum and the price we ultimately charge our customers after the aluminum is processed. Generally, and in the short-term, in periods of rising prices our earnings benefit

from this timing difference while the opposite is true in periods of declining prices, and we refer to this timing difference as "metal price lag." During the three months ended June 30, 2008, metal price lag favorably impacted our results by \$34 million and negatively impacted the comparable prior year period by approximately \$11 million, for a net favorable impact of \$45 million. These amounts include the effects of derivative instruments we purchased to offset this risk as described below. For general metal price lag exposure we used short-term LME forward contracts to help mitigate the exposure, although exact offset hedging is not achieved.

Certain of our sales contracts, most notably in Europe, contain fixed metal prices for periods of time such as four to thirty-six months. In some cases, this can result in a negative (positive) impact on sales, compared to current prices, as metal prices increase (decrease) because the prices are fixed at historical levels. The positive or negative impact on sales under these contracts has not been included in the metal price lag effect quantified above, as we enter into forward metal purchases simultaneous with the sales contracts thereby mitigating the exposure to changing metal prices on sales under these contracts.

The impacts of the above mentioned items on Net sales and Segment Income are described more fully in the Operations and Segment Review where appropriate.

For accounting purposes, we do not treat all derivative instruments as hedges under FASB Statement No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (FASB Statement No. 133). For example, we do not treat the derivative instruments purchased to mitigate the risks discussed above under metal price ceilings and metal price lag as hedges under FASB Statement No. 133. In those cases, changes in fair value are recognized immediately in earnings, which results in the recognition of fair value as a gain or loss in advance of the contract settlement, and we expect further earnings volatility as a result. In the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations, changes in fair value of derivative instruments not accounted for as hedges under FASB Statement No. 133 are recognized in (Gain) loss on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net. These gains or losses may or may not result from cash settlement. For Segment Income purposes we only include the impact of the derivative gains or losses to the extent they are settled in cash during that period.

OPERATIONS AND SEGMENT REVIEW

The following discussion and analysis is based on our condensed consolidated statements of operations, which reflect our results of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and the three months ended June 30, 2007 (as prepared on a combined non-GAAP basis).

The following tables present our shipments, our results of operations, prices for aluminum, oil and natural gas and key currency exchange rates for the periods referred to above, and the changes from period to period.

	End		
	June		Percent
	2008	2007	Change
	Successor	Combined	
Shipments (kt)			
Rolled products, including tolling (the conversion of customer-owned metal)	777	755	2.9%
Ingot products, including primary and secondary ingot and recyclable aluminum	48	38	26.3%
Total shipments	825	793	4.0%

	2008	Three M End June	Percent Change	
	Successi	-	(Restated) Combined	Change
Results of Operations (\$ in millions):	SACCESA		Combined	
Net sales	\$ 3.	103	\$ 2,828	9.7%
Cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown below)	2,	331	2,641	7.2%
Selling, general and administrative expenses		84	137	(38.7)%
Depreciation and amortization		116	81	43.2%
Research and development expenses		12	19	(36.8)%
Interest expense and amortization of debt issuance costs — net		40	51	(21.6)%
(Gain) loss on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net		(66)	(34)	94.1%
Equity in net (income) loss of non-consolidated affiliates		2	_	n.m.
Sale transaction fees		_	32	(100.0)%
Other (income) expenses — net		22	15	46.7%
	3.)41	2,942	3.4%
Income (loss) before provision (benefit) for taxes on income (loss) and minority interests' share		62	(114)	154.4%
Provision (benefit) for taxes on income (loss)		35	31	12.9%
Income (loss) before minority interests' share		27	(145)	118.6%
Minority interests' share		(2)	3	(166.7)%
Net income (loss)	\$	25	\$ (142)	117.6%

n.m. — not meaningful

		Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 Successor Combined			Ended June 30, 2008 2007		Percent Change
London Metal Exchange Prices							
Aluminum (per metric tonne, and presented in U.S. dollars):							
Closing cash price as of end of period		\$	3,075	\$	2,686	14.5%	
Average cash price during the period		\$	2,940	\$	2,761	6.5%	
		Т	Three Months			U.S. Dollar	

		I III CC IV		
		End	ed	U.S. Dollar
		June	30,	Strengthen/
		2008	2007	(Weaken)
		Successor	Combined	
1	Federal Reserve Bank of New York Exchange Rates			
	Average of the month end rates:			
	U.S. dollar per euro	1.563	1.354	(15.4)%
	Brazilian real per U.S. dollar	1.638	1.961	(16.5)%
	South Korean won per U.S. dollar	1,027	927	10.8%
	Canadian dollar per U.S. dollar	1.007	1.080	(6.8)%

	Ended					
	June 30,				Percent	
	2008		2007		Change	
	S	uccessor	C	Combined		
New York Mercantile Exchange — Energy Price Quotations						
Light Sweet Crude — Average settlement price (per barrel)	\$	117.76	\$	61.69	90.9%	
Natural Gas — Average Henry Hub contract settlement price (per MMBTU)(A)	\$	10.92	\$	7.55	44.6%	

⁽A) One MMBTU is the equivalent of one decatherm, or one million British Thermal Units (BTU's).

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2008 COMPARED TO THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2007 (THREE MONTHS COMBINED NON-GAAP)

Shipments

Rolled products shipments increased the first quarter of fiscal 2009 primarily due to increases in canstock fueled by continued demand in the can markets of all segments, partially offset by decreases in (1) light gauge primarily in North America and Europe as a result of weak market demand, (2) specialty and painted products in Europe as a result of weak market conditions for building-related products and (3) foil stock in Europe and Asia.

Net sales

Higher net sales in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 compared to fiscal 2008 resulted primarily from (1) an increase of \$101 million as a result of 6.5% increase in average LME metal pricing, (2) an increase of \$93 million from higher rolled products volume primarily in North America, South America and Asia, offset by lower volume in Europe, (3) an increase of \$19 million in conversion premiums across all segments, (4) an increase of \$69 million from foreign currency exchange gains primarily in Europe driven by the continued strengthening of the euro against the U.S. dollar, (5) an increase of \$27 million due to a favorable change in the product mix of rolled products and (6) incremental purchase accounting adjustments of \$20 million related to the accretion of can ceiling contract fair value reserves in North America, partially offset by (7) \$60 million of lower metal price lag in North America and Asia. Metal represents approximately 60% - 70% of the sales value of our products.

Net sales for the first quarters of both fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008 were adversely impacted in North America due to price ceilings on certain sales contracts, which limited our ability to pass through approximately \$78 million of metal purchase costs in both periods.

Costs and expenses

The following table presents our costs and expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, in dollars and expressed as percentages of net sales.

	Three Months Ended June 30,							
		2008			2007			
	\$ in	S in Millions % of Net Sales		_	\$ in Millions (Restated) Combined	% of Net Sales		
			01.00/	•		02.40/		
Cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization)	\$	2,831	91.2%	\$	2,641	93.4%		
Selling, general and administrative expenses		84	2.7%		137	4.8%		
Depreciation and amortization		116	3.7%		81	2.9%		
Research and development expenses		12	0.4%		19	0.7%		
Interest expense and amortization of debt issuance costs — net		40	1.3%		51	1.8%		
(Gain) loss on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net		(66)	(2.1)%		(34)	(1.2)%		
Equity in net (income) loss of non-consolidated affiliates		2	0.1%		_	%		
Sale transaction fees		_	%		32	1.1%		
Other (income) expenses — net		22	0.7%		15	0.5%		
	\$	3,041	98.0%	\$	2,942	104.0%		

Cost of goods sold. Metal represents approximately 70% - 80% of our input costs. As a percentage of net sales, cost of goods sold improved as a result of pricing improvements across all segments, partially offset by certain input and operational cost increases.

Selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A). SG&A decreased primarily as a result of (1) stock compensation expense of \$45 million and (2) \$4 million in director and officer insurance premiums for tail coverage associated with our acquisition by Hindalco, both incurred in the first quarter of fiscal 2008.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization increased \$35 million due to the increases in the bases of our property, plant and equipment and intangible assets recorded as a result of the Arrangement.

Research and development expenses. Research and development expenses decreased due to the one-time write off of \$9 million of in-process research and development costs resulting from the Arrangement in the first quarter of fiscal 2008.

Interest expense and amortization of debt issuance costs — net. Interest expense and amortization of debt issuance costs decreased primarily due to lower average interest rates on our variable rate debt.

Gain (loss) on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net. The net gain on the change in fair value of derivative instruments increased due (1) to an increase of \$21 million in gains associated with aluminum forward contracts which benefited from rising LME prices during the fiscal 2009 quarter and (2) an increase of \$9 million in gains associated with currency swaps which benefited from the strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the Korean won.

Sale transaction fees. We incurred \$32 million of fees and expenses related to the Arrangement during the first quarter of fiscal 2008.

Other (income) expenses — net. A reconciliation of the difference between the periods is shown below (in millions):

	(In	ncome) nses — Net
Other (income) expenses — net for the three months ended June 30, 2007	\$	15
Exchange losses of \$20 million in 2009 compared to \$11 million in 2008		9
Restructuring charges (recoveries) — net of \$(1) million in 2009 compared to \$2 million in 2008		(3)
Impairment charges on long-lived assets of \$1 million in 2009 only		1
Net gain on sale of property, plant and equipment of \$1 million in 2009 only		(1)
Other — net		1
Other (income) expenses — net for the three months ended June 30, 2008	\$	22

Provision (benefit) for taxes on income (loss)

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, we recorded a \$35 million provision for taxes on our pre-tax income of \$64 million, before our equity in net (income) loss of non-consolidated affiliates and minority interests' share, which represented an effective tax rate of \$5%. Our effective tax rate differs from the Canadian statutory rate primarily due to the following factors: (1) \$9 million in expense for pre-tax foreign currency gains or losses with no pre-tax effect, (2) \$20 million in expense for the remeasurement of deferred income taxes due to foreign currency changes and (3) a \$14 million benefit for differences between the Canadian statutory and foreign effective tax rates applied to entities in different jurisdictions.

For the three months ended June 30, 2007, we recorded a \$31 million (as restated) provision for taxes on our pre-tax loss of \$114 million (as restated), before our equity in net (income) loss of non-consolidated affiliates and minority interests' share, which represented an effective tax rate of (27)%. Our effective tax rate differs from the Canadian statutory rate due primarily to (1) \$42 million in expense (as restated) for pre-tax foreign currency gains or losses with no tax effect and the tax effect of U.S. dollar denominated currency gains or losses with no pre-tax effect, (2) \$6 million in expense for the remeasurement of deferred income taxes due to foreign currency changes, (3) a \$34 million expense for change in valuation allowances primarily related to tax losses in certain jurisdictions where we believe it is more likely than not that we will not be able to utilize those losses and (4) a \$20 million benefit (as restated) for expense and income items with no tax effect.

OPERATING SEGMENT REVIEW FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2008 COMPARED TO THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2007 (THREE MONTHS COMBINED NON-GAAP)

Due in part to the regional nature of supply and demand of aluminum rolled products and in order to best serve our customers, we manage our activities on the basis of geographical areas and are organized under four operating segments: North America, Europe, Asia and South America.

We measure the profitability and financial performance of our operating segments, based on Segment Income, in accordance with FASB Statement No. 131, Disclosure About the Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information. Segment Income provides a measure of our underlying segment results that is in line with our portfolio approach to risk management. We define Segment Income as earnings before (a) interest expense and amortization of debt issuance costs — net; (b) unrealized gains (losses) on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net; (c) realized gains (losses) on corporate derivative instruments — net; (d) depreciation and amortization; (e) impairment charges on long-lived assets; (f) minority interests' share; (g) adjustments to reconcile our proportional share of Segment Income from non-consolidated affiliates to income as determined on the equity method of accounting; (h) restructuring charges (recoveries) — net; (i) gains or losses on sales of property, plant and equipment and businesses — net; (j) corporate selling, general and

administrative expenses; (k) other costs — net; (l) litigation settlement — net of insurance recoveries; (m) sale transaction fees; (n) provision or benefit for taxes on income (loss) and (o) cumulative effect of accounting change — net of tax.

Net sales and expenses are measured in accordance with the policies and procedures described in Note 1 — Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies to our consolidated and combined financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended March 31, 2008.

We do not treat all derivative instruments as hedges under FASB Statement No. 133. Accordingly, changes in fair value are recognized immediately in earnings, which results in the recognition of fair value as a gain or loss in advance of the contract settlement. In the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations, changes in fair value of derivative instruments not accounted for as hedges under FASB Statement No. 133 are recognized in (Gain) loss on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net. These gains or losses may or may not result from cash settlement. For Segment Income purposes we only include the impact of the derivative gains or losses to the extent they are settled in cash (i.e., realized) during that period.

As discussed above, the Arrangement created a new basis of accounting. Under GAAP, the condensed consolidated financial statements for the three months ended June 30, 2007 are presented in two distinct periods, as Predecessor and Successor entities are not comparable in all material respects. However, in order to facilitate an understanding of our results of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2008 in comparison with the three months ended June 30, 2007, in this section, our Predecessor results and our Successor results are presented and discussed on a combined basis. The combined results of operations are non-GAAP financial measures and should not be used in isolation or substitution of the Predecessor results.

Net sales

Shown below is the schedule of Net sales by operating segment for periods attributable to the Successor, Predecessor and the combined presentation for the three months ended June 30, 2007 that we use throughout MD&A (in millions).

	Th June	May 16, 2007 April 1, 2007 Through Through June 30, 2007 May 15, 2007 Successor Predecessor			Jui	ree Months Ended ne 30, 2007 Combined
Combined Net sales by Operating Segment:						
North America	\$	574	\$	446	\$	1,020
Europe		593		510		1,103
Asia		246		216		462
South America		134		109		243
Total Net sales	\$	1,547	\$	1,281	\$	2,828

Segment Income

Shown below is the schedule of our reconciliation from Total Segment Income (Loss) to Net income (loss) by operating segment for periods attributable to the Successor, Predecessor and the combined presentation for the three months ended June 30, 2007 that we use throughout our MD&A (in millions).

	 ny 16, 2007 Fhrough ne 30, 2007 Restated)	April 1, 2007 Through May 15, 2007 Predecessor		Through May 15, 2007		_	Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 (Restated) Combined
Combined Results by Operating Segment:							
Segment Income (Loss)							
North America	\$ 23	\$	(24)	\$	(1)		
Europe	43		32		75		
Asia	(2)		6		4		
South America	22		18		40		
Total Segment Income	86		32		118		
Interest expense and amortization of debt issuance costs — net	(25)		(26)		(51)		
Unrealized gains (losses) on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net(A)	(15)		5		(10)		
Realized gains (losses) on corporate derivative instruments — net	8		(3)		5		
Depreciation and amortization	(53)		(28)		(81)		
Minority interests' share	2		1		3		
Adjustment to eliminate proportional consolidation(B)	(9)		(7)		(16)		
Restructuring charges — net	(1)		(1)		(2)		
Corporate selling, general and administrative expenses	(8)		(35)		(43)		
Other costs — net	(3)		1		(2)		
Sale transaction fees	_		(32)		(32)		
Benefit (provision) for taxes on income (loss)	 (27)		(4)		(31)		
Net income (loss)	\$ (45)	\$	(97)	\$	(142)		

⁽A) Unrealized gains (losses) on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net represents the portion of gains (losses) that were not settled in cash during the period.

⁽B) Our financial information for our segments (including Segment Income) includes the results of our non-consolidated affiliates on a proportionately consolidated basis, which is consistent with the way we manage our business segments. However, under GAAP, these non-consolidated affiliates are accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Therefore, in order to reconcile Total Segment Income to Net income (loss), the proportional Segment Income of these non-consolidated affiliates is removed from Total Segment Income, net of our share of their net after-tax results, which is reported as Equity in net (income) loss of non-consolidated affiliates on our condensed consolidated statements of operations. See Note 7 — Investment in and Advances to Non-Consolidated Affiliates and Related Party Transactions in the accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements for further information about these non-consolidated affiliates.

Reconciliation

The following table presents Segment Income (Loss) by operating segment and reconciles Total Segment Income to Net income (loss) (in millions).

		hree Months		e 30, 2007
	Succ	ressor	(Re	estated) mbined
Segment Income (Loss)				
North America	\$	42	\$	(1)
Europe		111		75
Asia		31		4
South America		47		40
Total Segment Income		231		118
Interest expense and amortization of debt issuance costs — net		(40)		(51)
Unrealized gains (losses) on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net		21		(10)
Realized gains (losses) on corporate derivative instruments — net		_		5
Depreciation and amortization		(116)		(81)
Impairment charges on long-lived assets		(1)		_
Minority interests' share		(2)		3
Adjustment to eliminate proportional consolidation		(18)		(16)
Restructuring recoveries (charges) — net		1		(2)
Gain (loss) on sales of property, plant and equipment and businesses — net		1		_
Corporate selling, general and administrative expenses		(14)		(43)
Other costs — net		(3)		(2)
Sale transaction fees		_		(32)
Benefit (provision) for taxes on income (loss)		(35)		(31)
Net income (loss)	\$	25	\$	(142)

OPERATING SEGMENT RESULTS

North America

As of June 30, 2008, North America manufactured aluminum sheet and light gauge products through nine aluminum rolled products facilities and two dedicated recycling facilities. Important end-use applications include beverage cans, containers and packaging, automotive and other transportation applications, building products and other industrial applications.

The following table presents key financial and operating information for North America for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 (in millions, except for shipments, which are in kt).

		June 30,			Percent	
		2008		2007	Change	
	Su	ccessor	Co.	mbined		
Shipments (kt):						
Rolled products		286		277	3.2%	
Ingot products		8		16	(50.0)%	
Total shipments		294		293	0.3%	
Net sales	\$	1,083	\$	1,020	6.2%	
Segment Income (Loss)	\$	42	\$	(1)	4,300.0%	
Total assets	\$	3,996	\$	4,524	(11.7)%	

Shipments

Rolled products shipments increased due to increases in demand in canstock and industrial products, partially offset by decreases in demand for light gauge products as a result of a weak demand in construction and transportation industries. Ingot product shipments declined due to lower scrap sales.

Net sales

Net sales increased primarily due to (1) increased volume of rolled products of \$38 million, (2) increased average LME prices for metal of \$29 million and (3) \$20 million of incremental accretion related to the contract fair value reserves as discussed in Metal Price Ceilings, partially offset by (4) contracts priced in prior periods resulting in an unfavorable metal price lag of \$37 million. During both the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, we were unable to pass through approximately \$78 million of metal purchase costs, resulting in no incremental impact to net sales between the periods.

Segment Income

Segment Income was favorably impacted by (1) \$11 million due to higher volume of shipments, (2) \$7 million as a result of pricing increases and favorable changes in product mix, (3) \$6 million as a result of the impact of the metal price ceilings (excluding the accretion of the contract fair value reserves), (4) \$33 million of incremental net favorable fair value adjustments recorded as a result of the Arrangement and (5) lower stock compensation expense of \$11 million recorded in the fiscal 2008 period as a result of the Arrangement, partially offset by (6) the negative impact of metal price lag which unfavorably impacted Segment Income by \$9 million in fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2008 and (7) higher operating costs of \$15 million primarily associated with energy and freight.

Total Assets

Total assets decreased primarily due to the finalization of adjustments to our purchase price allocation made subsequent to the fiscal 2008 period.

Europe

As of June 30, 2008, Europe provided European markets with value-added sheet and light gauge products through its 13 aluminum rolled products facilities and one dedicated recycling facility. Europe serves a broad range of aluminum rolled product end-use markets in various applications including can, packaging, automotive, lithographic, building and other various industrial uses.

The following table presents key financial and operating information for Europe for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 (in millions, except for shipments, which are in kt).

		Ended June 30.			Percent				
	_	2008		2008		2008		2007	Change
	S	uccessor	(R	testated) ombined					
Shipments (kt):									
Rolled products		271		285	(4.9)%				
Ingot products		28		4	600.0%				
Total shipments	_	299		289	3.5%				
Net sales	\$	1,218	\$	1,103	10.4%				
Segment Income	\$	111	\$	75	48.0%				
Total assets	\$	4,231	\$	3,468	22.0%				

Shipments

Rolled products shipments decreased due to decreases in demand for specialty, painted and light gauge products from a continued weak building-related products market, partially offset by increases in canstock and lithographic products. Ingot product shipments increased during the first quarter of fiscal 2009. Due to slower business conditions, we sold additional ingot to manage inventory levels.

Net sales

Net sales increased primarily due to (1) increased volume of non-rolled products of \$14 million, (2) increased average LME prices for metal of \$38 million, (3) increases in conversion premiums of \$12 million and (4) an increase of \$71 million from foreign currency exchange gains driven by the continued strengthening of the euro against the U.S. dollar, partially offset by (5) decreased volume in rolled products of \$11 million and (6) unfavorable changes in product mix of \$3 million.

Segment Income

Segment Income was favorably impacted by (1) \$11 million due to higher pricing, (2) the positive impact of metal price lag of \$31 million, (3) \$7 million of incremental favorable fair value adjustments recorded as a result of the Arrangement and (4) lower stock compensation expense of \$6 million recorded in the fiscal 2008 period as a result of the Arrangement, partially offset by (5) \$6 million from lower volume of rolled products and (6) higher operating costs of \$16 million primarily associated with energy.

Total assets

Total assets increased primarily due to the strengthening of the euro against the U.S. dollar and the finalization of adjustments to our purchase price allocation made subsequent to the first quarter of fiscal 2008.

Asia

As of June 30, 2008, Asia operated three manufacturing facilities, with production balanced between foil, construction and industrial, and beverage and food can end-use applications.

The following table presents key financial and operating information for Asia for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 (in millions, except for shipments, which are in kt).

		1111			
	:	2008 Successor	une 30, 20 Comb		Percent Change
Shipments (kt):					
Rolled products		133		118	12.7%
Ingot products		7		11	(36.4)%
Total shipments		140		129	8.5%
Net sales		\$ 510	\$	462	10.4%
Segment Income		\$ 31	\$	4	675.0%
Total assets		\$ 1,129	\$	1,323	(14.7)%

Shipments

Rolled products shipments increased primarily due to increased demand in the can market, partially offset by a decline of shipments in the industrial products and foil stock markets as a result of continued price pressure from Chinese exports, driven by the difference in aluminum metal prices on the Shanghai Futures Exchange and the LME. Ingot shipments decreased as a result of a labor strike at one of our large customers.

Net sales

Net sales increased primarily due to (1) increased volume of rolled products of \$55 million and (2) increased average LME prices for metal of \$24 million, partially offset by (3) contracts priced in prior periods resulting in an unfavorable metal price lag of \$23 million and (4) decreased volume and sales in non-rolled products of \$12 million.

Segment Income

Segment Income was favorably impacted by (1) \$9 million due to higher prices, (2) the positive impact of metal price lag of \$18 million, (3) \$9 million of incremental favorable fair value adjustments recorded as a result of the Arrangement and (4) lower stock compensation expense of \$4 million recorded in the fiscal 2008 period as a result of the Arrangement, partially offset by (5) \$9 million in net foreign currency exchange losses as the U.S. dollar strengthened against the Korean won and (6) higher operating costs of \$8 million primarily associated with energy.

Total asset

Total assets decreased primarily due to the finalization of adjustments to our purchase price allocation made subsequent to the fiscal 2008 period.

South America

As of June 30, 2008, South America operated two rolling plants in Brazil along with two smelters, an alumina refinery, bauxite mines and power generation facilities. South America manufactures various aluminum rolled products, including can stock, automotive and industrial sheet and light gauge for the beverage and food can, construction and industrial and transportation end-use markets.

The following table presents key financial and operating information for South America for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 (in millions, except for shipments, which are in kt).

		Ended June 30,		
	2008 Successor		2007 ombined	Change
Shipments (kt):				
Rolled products	8'	7	75	16.0%
Ingot products		;	7	(28.6)%
Total shipments	92	2	82	12.2%
Net sales	\$ 299	5 \$	243	21.4%
Segment Income	\$ 4'	7 \$	40	17.5%
Total assets	\$ 1,54	4 S	1,321	16.9%

Shipments

Rolled products shipments increased primarily due to an increase in overall can shipments driven by strong market demand. Ingot shipments decreased primarily as a result of lower primary billet production.

Net sales

Net sales increased primarily due to (1) increased volume of rolled products of \$11 million, (2) favorable changes in product mix of rolled products of \$30 million and (3) increased average LME prices for metal of \$10 million.

Segment Income

Segment Income was favorably impacted by (1) \$5 million due to higher prices, (2) the positive impact of metal price lag of \$9 million, (3) \$7 million of incremental favorable fair value adjustments recorded as a result of the Arrangement, (4) lower stock compensation expense of \$3 million recorded in the fiscal 2008 period as a result of the Arrangement and (5) increased benefit of internally supplied metal from our smelter of \$3 million, partially offset by (6) increased net foreign currency exchange losses of \$13 million as the Brazilian real strengthened against the U.S. dollar and (7) higher operating costs of \$9 million

Total asset

Total assets increased primarily due to increases in working capital and fixed asset additions since the first quarter of fiscal 2008.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

As discussed above, the Arrangement created a new basis of accounting. Under GAAP, the condensed consolidated financial statements for the three months ended June 30, 2007 are presented in two distinct periods, as Predecessor and Successor entities are not comparable in all material respects. However, in order to facilitate a discussion of our liquidity and capital resources for the three months ended June 30, 2008 in comparison with the three months ended June 30, 2007, our Predecessor and Successor cash flows are presented herein on a combined basis. The combined cash flows are non-GAAP financial measures and should not be used in isolation or substitution of the Predecessor and Successor cash flows.

Cash Flows

Shown below is a condensed combining schedule of cash flows for periods allocable to the Successor, Predecessor and the combined presentation for the three months ended June 30, 2007 that we use throughout our discussion of Liquidity and Capital Resources (in millions).

	May 16, 2007 Through June 30, 2007 Successor	April 1, 2007 Through May 15, 2007 Predecessor	Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 Combined
OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ (44)	\$ (230)	\$ (274)
INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Capital expenditures	(22)	(17)	(39)
Proceeds from sales of assets	1	_	1
Changes to investment in and advances to non-consolidated affiliates	1	1	2
Proceeds from loans receivable — net — related parties	4	_	4
Net proceeds from settlement of derivative instruments	29	18	47
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	13	2	15
FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	92	_	92
Proceeds from issuance of debt	_	150	150
Principal repayments	(46)	(1)	(47)
Short-term borrowings — net	83	60	143
Dividends — minority interests	(1)	(7)	(8)
Debt issuance costs	(13)	(2)	(15)
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options		1	1
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	115	201	316
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	84	(27)	57
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash balances held in foreign currencies	_	1	1
Cash and cash equivalents — beginning of period	102	128	128
Cash and cash equivalents — end of period	\$ 186	\$ 102	\$ 186

Operating Activities

Free cash flow (which is a non-GAAP measure) consists of: (a) Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities; (b) less dividends and capital expenditures and (c) plus net proceeds from settlement of derivative instruments (which is net of premiums paid to purchase derivative instruments). Dividends include those paid by our less than wholly-owned subsidiaries to their minority shareholders and dividends paid by us to our common shareholder. Management believes that Free cash flow is relevant to investors as it provides a measure of the cash generated internally that is available for debt service and other value creation opportunities. However, Free cash flow does not necessarily represent eash available for discretionary activities, as certain debt service obligations must be funded out of Free cash flow. We believe the line on our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows entitled "Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities" is the most directly comparable measure to Free cash flow. Our method of calculating Free cash flow may not be consistent with that of other companies.

In our discussion of Metal Price Ceilings, we have disclosed that certain customer contracts contain a fixed aluminum (metal) price ceiling beyond which the cost of aluminum cannot be passed through to the customer, unless adjusted. During both the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, we were unable to pass through approximately \$78 million of metal purchase costs associated with sales under theses contracts. Net cash provided by operating activities is negatively impacted by the same amounts, adjusted for any timing difference between customer receipts and vendor payments and offset partially by reduced income taxes. Based on a June 30, 2008 aluminum price of \$3,075 per tonne, and our estimate of a range of shipment volumes, we estimate that we will be unable to pass through aluminum purchase costs of approximately \$240 — \$260 million during the remainder of fiscal 2009 and \$240 — \$260 million in the aggregate thereafter.

As a result of our acquisition by Hindalco, we established reserves totaling \$655 million as of May 15, 2007 to record these contracts at fair value. Fair value effectively represents the discounted cash flows of the forecasted metal purchases in excess of the metal price ceilings contained in these contracts. These reserves are being accreted into revenue over the remaining lives of the underlying contracts, and this accretion will not impact future cash flows. As of June 30, 2008, the balance of these reserves is \$321 million.

The following table shows the reconciliation from Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities to Free cash flow, the ending balances of cash and cash equivalents and the change between periods (in millions).

	June 30,				
	ccessor	2007 Combined		Change	
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ (351)	\$	(274)	\$	(77)
Dividends — minority interests	_		(8)		8
Capital expenditures	(33)		(39)		6
Net proceeds from settlement of derivative instruments	 34		47		(13)
Free cash flow	\$ (350)	\$	(274)	\$	(76)
Ending cash and cash equivalents	\$ 296	\$	186	\$	110

Net cash used in operating activities increased in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 compared to fiscal 2008 as a result of (1) changes in certain components of our working capital, primarily driven by higher dollar inventory levels due to the increase in aluminum prices in the first half of this calendar year and the timing of payments made by our customers at March 31, 2008 and June 30, 2008, and partially offset by (2) higher net income.

Dividends paid to our minority interests, primarily in our Asia operating segment, were \$8 million and paid in the first quarter of fiscal 2008. Capital expenditures were slightly lower between periods in all operating segments due, in part, to the construction of Novelis FusionTM ingot casting lines in our European and Asian segments as well as additional planned maintenance activities, improvements to our Yeongju, Korea hot mill and other ancillary upgrades made in the first quarter of fiscal 2008. Net proceeds from the settlement of derivative instruments contributed \$34 million to Free cash flow in the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to \$47 million during the three months ended June 30, 2007.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2008, negative Free cash flow was impacted by costs associated with or triggered by the Arrangement including: (1) \$72 million paid in share-based compensation payments, (2) \$42 million paid for sale transaction fees and (3) \$25 million in bonus payments for the 2006 calendar year and the period from January 1, 2007 through May 15, 2007.

Investing Activities

The following table presents information regarding our Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities (in millions).

	June 30,					
	cessor		2007 C Combined		hange	
Net proceeds from settlement of derivative instruments	\$ 34	\$	47	\$	(13)	
Capital expenditures	(33)		(39)		6	
Proceeds from loans receivable — net	8		4		4	
Proceeds from sales of assets	1		1		_	
Changes to investment in and advances to non-consolidated affiliates	6		2		4	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	\$ 16	\$	15	\$	1	

Net proceeds from settlement of derivative instruments and the magnitude of capital expenditures were discussed above in Operating Activities as both are included in our definition of Free cash flow. We estimate that our annual capital expenditure requirements for items necessary to maintain comparable production, quality and market position levels (maintenance capital) will be approximately \$90 — \$110 million, and that total annual capital expenditures will be approximately \$170 — \$190 million for the remainder of fiscal year 2009.

The majority of our capital expenditures for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 were for projects devoted to product quality, technology, productivity enhancement and increased capacity. Capital expenditures were slightly lower between periods in all operating segments due, in part, to the construction of Novelis FusionTM ingot casting lines in our European and Asian Segments as well as additional planned maintenance activities, improvements to our Yeongju, Korea hot mill and other ancillary upgrades made in the first quarter of fiscal 2008.

Proceeds from loans receivable — net during 2008 and 2007 are primarily comprised of payments we received related to a loan due from our non-consolidated affiliate, Aluminium Norf GmbH.

Financing Activities

Overview

The following table presents information regarding our Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities (in millions).

	1	Three Months Ended June 30,				
		ccessor		nbined	_ <u>C</u>	hange
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	\$	_	\$	92	\$	(92)
Proceeds from issuance of debt		_		150		(150)
Principal repayments		(4)		(47)		43
Short-term borrowings — net		313		143		170
Dividends — minority interests		_		(8)		8
Debt issuance costs		_		(15)		15
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options		_		1		(1)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	\$	309	\$	316	\$	(7)

During the first quarter of fiscal 2008, we amended our then existing senior secured credit facilities to increase its capacity by \$150 million. We used these proceeds to reduce the outstanding balance of our then existing revolving credit facility, thus increasing our borrowing capacity. This additional capacity, along with \$92 million of cash received from the issuance additional shares indirectly to Hindalco, allowed us to fund general working capital requirements and certain costs associated with the Arrangement including the cash

settlement of share-based compensation arrangements and lender fees. Dividends paid to our minority interests, primarily in our Asia operating segment, were \$8 million and paid in the first quarter of fiscal 2008.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we increased our short-term borrowing under our new revolving credit facility to provide for general working capital requirements.

Saniar Sacurad Cradit Facilities

On July 6, 2007, we entered into new senior secured credit facilities with a syndicate of lenders led by affiliates of UBS and ABN AMRO (New Credit Facilities) providing for aggregate borrowings of up to \$1.76 billion. The New Credit Facilities consist of (1) a \$960 million seven-year Term Loan facility (Term Loan facility) and (2) an \$800 million five year multi-currency asset-based revolving credit line and letter of credit facility).

We incurred debt issuance costs on our New Credit Facilities totaling \$32 million. These fees are included in Other long-term assets — third parties and are being amortized over the life of the related borrowing in Interest expense and amortization of debt issuance costs — net using the "effective interest amortization" method for the Term Loan facility and the straight-line method for the ABL facility. The unamortized amount of these costs was \$25 million as of June 30, 2008.

Interest Rate Swaps

During the quarter ended December 31, 2007, we entered into interest rate swaps to fix the variable LIBOR interest rate for up to \$600 million of our floating rate Term Loan facility at effective weighted average interest rates and amounts expiring as follows: (i) 4.1% on \$600 million through September 30, 2008, (ii) 4.0% on \$500 million through March 31, 2009 and (iii) 4.0% on \$400 million through March 31, 2010. We are still obligated to pay any applicable margin, as defined in our New Credit Facilities, in addition to these interest rates.

As of June 30, 2008 approximately 87% of our debt was fixed rate and approximately 13% was variable rate.

Short-Term Borrowings and Letters of Credit

As of June 30, 2008, our short-term borrowings were \$430 million consisting of (1) \$359 million of short-term loans under our ABL facility, (2) a \$40 million short-term loan in Korea and (3) \$31 million in bank overdrafts. As of June 30, 2008, \$30 million of our ABL facility was utilized for letters of credit and an additional \$158 million under letters of credit in Korea not included in our revolving credit facility. The weighted average interest rate on our total short-term borrowings was 3.63% as of June 30, 2008.

Liquidit

As of July 31, 2008, the current LME price was \$2,928 per tonne and the forward curve continues to be relatively flat indicating long term prices above \$3,000 per tonne. If aluminum prices continue to be above this level, our Free cash flow will be negatively impacted in the near term due to the contracts we have with metal price ceilings discussed above as well as the continued carry of additional working capital as a result of the increased price levels. The largest driver of our liquidity is tied to our working capital, which is significantly influenced by the price of aluminum. If aluminum prices were to increase further, we would see further reductions in our overall liquidity position.

Our estimated liquidity as of June 30, 2008 and 2007 is as follows (in millions).

	Jun	ie 30,
	2008	2007
	Successor	Predecessor
Cash and cash equivalents	\$296	\$186
Amount available under senior secured credit facilities	411	
Total estimated liquidity	\$707	\$186

Our liquidity increased during the first quarter of fiscal 2009 primarily as a result of refinancing our credit facilities to provide for additional capacity. We continue to maintain forfaiting and factoring arrangements in Asia and South America that provide additional liquidity in those segments. Additionally, in our Asian Segment, our ability to access available liquidity is limited by various factors, including restrictions on granting dividends from Korea. As a result, included in cash and cash equivalents above is approximately \$98 million that would not be immediately available to us due to these restrictions.

The New Credit Facilities include customary affirmative and negative covenants. Under the ABL facility, if our excess availability, as defined under the borrowing, is less than 10% of the borrowing base, we are required to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1 to 1. Our liquidity shown above does not take into account this financial covenant. As of June 30, 2008, our fixed charge coverage ratio is less than 1 to 1. As a result, our available liquidity would be limited to 90% of the borrowing base to avoid potential default of our financial covenants, resulting in a reduction of available borrowings under our ABL facility of \$80 million.

Additionally, as our available liquidity under the ABL facility is based on our eligible accounts receivable and inventory, as defined under the New Credit Facility, as LME prices increase, our available liquidity would also increase up to a maximum of \$800 million assuming consistent sales volumes and inventory levels. As of June 30, 2008, we were at our maximum available borrowing base under our ABL facility. At current prices for aluminum, we believe that our cash on hand, together with cash provided by operations and borrowing availability under our credit facilities, will be sufficient to meet our obligations and fund our working capital requirements for the foreseeable future.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

In accordance with SEC rules, the following qualify as off-balance sheet arrangements:

- · any obligation under certain derivative instruments;
- any obligation under certain guarantees or contracts;
- a retained or contingent interest in assets transferred to an unconsolidated entity or similar entity or similar arrangement that serves as credit, liquidity or market risk support to that entity for such assets and
- any obligation under a material variable interest held by the registrant in an unconsolidated entity that provides financing, liquidity, market risk or credit risk support to the registrant, or engages in leasing, hedging or research and development services with the registrant.

The following discussion addresses the applicable off-balance sheet items for our Company.

Derivative Instruments

As of June 30, 2008, we have derivative financial instruments, as defined by FASB Statement No. 133. See Note 14 — Financial Instruments and Commodity Contracts to our accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

In conducting our business, we use various derivative and non-derivative instruments to manage the risks arising from fluctuations in exchange rates, interest rates, aluminum prices and energy prices. Such instruments are used for risk management purposes only. We may be exposed to losses in the future if the counterparties to the contracts fail to perform. We are satisfied that the risk of such non-performance is remote, due to our monitoring of credit exposures.

Certain contracts are designated as hedges of either net investment or cash flows. For these contracts we recognize the change in fair value of the ineffective portion of the hedge as a gain or loss in our current period results of operations. We include the change in fair value of the effective and interest portions of these hedges in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) within Shareholder's equity in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Our condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2008 includes a gain of \$22 million presented in Other comprehensive income (loss) — net of tax for the change in fair value of the effective portion of our cash flow hedges. As of June 30, 2008, we expect to realize \$3 million of effective net gains during the next twelve months. The maximum period over which we have hedged our exposure to cash flow variability is through November 2016.

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, we recognized gains of \$38 million presented in Other comprehensive income (loss) — net of tax for the change in fair value of the effective portion of our net investment hedges. As of June 30, 2008, we expect to realize \$10 million of effective net losses during the next twelve months. The maximum period over which we have hedged our exposure to net investment variability is through February 2015.

The fair values of our financial instruments and commodity contracts as of June 30, 2008 and March 31, 2008 were as follows (in millions).

			As of June 30, 2008		
	Maturity Dates (Fiscal Year)	Assets	Liabilities	Net Fair Value	
Successor:					
Foreign exchange forward contracts	2009 through 2012	\$ 50	\$ (77)	\$ (27)	
Cross-currency swaps	2009 through 2015	7	(159)	(152)	
Interest rate currency swaps	2009 through 2011	14	_	14	
Interest rate swaps	2009 through 2010	_	(5)	(5)	
Aluminum forward contracts	2009 through 2011	122	(28)	94	
Aluminum options	2009 through 2011	6	(4)	2	
Electricity swap	2017	22	_	22	
Embedded derivative instruments	2009	_	(4)	(4)	
Natural gas swaps	2009 through 2010	9	_	9	
Total fair value		230	(277)	(47)	
Less: current portion		198	(120)	78	
Noncurrent portion		\$ 32	\$ (157)	\$ (125)	

			As of March 31, 2008		
	Maturity Dates (Fiscal Year)	Assets	Liabilities	Net Fair Value	
Successor:					
Foreign exchange forward contracts	2009 through 2012	\$ 47	\$ (116)	\$ (69)	
Cross-currency swaps	2009 through 2015	19	(189)	(170)	
Interest rate currency swaps	2009 through 2011	4	_	4	
Interest rate swaps	2009 through 2010	_	(15)	(15)	
Aluminum forward contracts	2009 through 2011	134	(9)	125	
Aluminum options	2009 through 2011	1	_	1	
Electricity swap	2017	14	_	14	
Embedded derivative instruments	2009	_	(20)	(20)	
Natural gas swaps	2009 through 2010	5		5	
Total fair value		224	(349)	(125)	
Less: current portion		203	(148)	55	
Noncurrent portion		\$ 21	\$ (201)	\$ (180)	

Guarantees of Indebtedness

We have issued guarantees on behalf of certain of our subsidiaries and non-consolidated affiliates, including:

- · certain of our wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries; and
- Aluminium Norf GmbH, which is a fifty percent (50%) owned joint venture that does not meet the requirements for consolidation under FASB Interpretation No. 46 (Revised), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities.

In the case of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, the indebtedness guaranteed is for trade accounts payable to third parties. Some have annual terms subject to renewal while others have no expiration and have termination notice requirements. For our majority-owned subsidiaries, the indebtedness guaranteed is for short-term loan, overdraft and other debt facilities with financial institutions, which are currently scheduled to expire during the first half of fiscal 2009. Neither Novelis Inc. nor any of our subsidiaries or non-consolidated affiliates holds any assets of any third parties as collateral to offset the potential settlement of these guarantees.

Since we consolidate wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries in our condensed consolidated financial statements, all liabilities associated with trade payables and short-term debt facilities for these entities are already included in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

The following table discloses information about our obligations under guarantees of indebtedness of others as of June 30, 2008 (in millions). We did not have any obligations under guarantees of indebtedness related to our majority-owned subsidiaries as of June 30, 2008.

	Poter	laximum ntial Future Payment	Liability Carrying Value
Wholly-owned Subsidiaries		\$ 83	\$ 60
Aluminium Norf GmbH		\$ 16	S —

We have no retained or contingent interest in assets transferred to an unconsolidated entity or similar entity or similar arrangement that serves as credit, liquidity or market risk support to that entity for such assets.

Other

As part of our ongoing business, we do not participate in transactions that generate relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities (SPEs), which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. As of June 30, 2008 and March 31, 2008, we are not involved in any unconsolidated SPE transactions.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

We have future obligations under various contracts relating to debt and interest payments, capital and operating leases, long-term purchase obligations, postretirement benefit plans and uncertain tax positions. During the quarter ended June 30, 2008, there were no significant changes to these obligations as reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended March 31, 2008.

DIVIDENDS

No dividends have been declared since October 26, 2006. Future dividends are at the discretion of the board of directors and will depend on, among other things, our financial resources, cash flows generated by our business, our cash requirements, restrictions under the instruments governing our indebtedness, being in compliance with the appropriate indentures and covenants under the instruments that govern our indebtedness that would allow us to legally pay dividends and other relevant factors.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

During the quarter ended June 30, 2008, there were no significant changes to our critical accounting policies and estimates as reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended March 31, 2008

RECENT ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

The following accounting standards have been adopted by us during the three months ended June 30, 2008.

On April 1, 2008, we adopted FASB Statement No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities — including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 159 (FASB Statement No. 159). FASB Statement No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure financial instruments and certain other assets and liabilities at fair value on an instrument-by-instrument basis (the "fair value option") with changes in fair value reported in earnings each reporting period. The fair value option enables some companies to reduce the volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without applying the complex hedge accounting requirements under FASB Statement No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (FASB Statement No. 133), to achieve similar results. We already record our derivative contracts and hedging activities at fair value in accordance with FASB Statement No. 133. We did not elect the fair value option for any other financial instruments or certain other financial assets and liabilities that were not previously required to be measured at fair value.

On April 1, 2008, we adopted FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (FASB Statement No. 157), as it relates to financial assets and financial liabilities. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157, which delayed our required adoption date of FASB Statement No. 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on at least an annual basis, until April 1, 2009. Also in February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-1, Application of FASB Statement No. 157 to FASB Statement No. 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements That Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement under Statement 13, which states that FASB Statement No. 13, Accounting for Leases, and other accounting pronouncements that address fair value measurements for purposes of lease classification or measurement under FASB Statement No. 13 are excluded from the provisions of FASB Statement No. 157, except for assets and liabilities related to leases assumed in a business combination that are required to be measured at fair value under FASB Statement No. 141 (Revised), Business Combinations. See Note 15 — Fair Value of Measurements regarding our adoption of this standard.

On April 1, 2008, we adopted FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. FIN 39-1, Amendment of FASB Interpretation No. 39, (FSP FIN 39-1). FSP FIN 39-1 amends FASB Statement No. 39, Offsetting of Amounts Related to Certain Contracts, by permitting entities that enter into master netting arrangements as part of their derivative transactions to offset in their financial statements net derivative positions against the fair value of amounts (or amounts that approximate fair value) recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral or the obligation to return cash collateral under those arrangements. Our adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

The following new accounting standards have been issued, but have not yet been adopted by us as of June 30, 2008, as adoption is not required until future reporting periods.

In May 2008, the FASB issued Statement No. 162, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* (FASB Statement No. 162). FASB Statement No. 162 defines the order in which accounting principles that are generally accepted should be followed. FASB Statement No. 162 is effective 60 days following the SEC's approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) amendments to AU Section 411, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*.

We have not yet commenced evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of FASB Statement No. 162 on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In April 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 142-3, Determination of Useful Life of Intangible Assets, (FSP FAS 142-3). FSP FAS 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing the renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under FASB Statement No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. FSP FAS 142-3 also requires expanded disclosure related to the determination of intangible asset useful lives. FSP FAS 142-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Earlier adoption is prohibited. We have not yet commenced evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of FSP FAS 142-3 on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In March 2008, the FASB issued Statement No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (FASB Statement No. 161), an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133. FASB Statement No. 161 changes the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities. Entities are required to provide enhanced disclosures about (i) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (ii) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under FASB Statement No. 133 and its related interpretations and (iii) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. FASB Statement No. 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008, with early adoption permitted. FASB Statement No. 161 permits, but does not require, comparative disclosures for earlier periods upon initial adoption. We have not yet commenced evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of FASB Statement No. 161 on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, cash flows or disclosures related to derivative instruments and hedging activities.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 141 (Revised), Business Combinations, (FASB Statement No. 141(R)) which establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer in a business combination (i) recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquires and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase, and (iii) determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. FASB Statement No. 141(R) also requires acquirers to estimate the acquisition-date fair value of any contingent consideration and to recognize any subsequent changes in the fair value of contingent consideration in earnings. We will be required to apply this new standard prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008, with the exception of the accounting for valuation allowances on deferred taxes and acquired tax contingencies. FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, such that adjustments made to valuation allowances on deferred taxes and acquired tax contingencies associated with acquisitions that closed prior to the effective date of FASB Statement No. 141(R) would also apply the provisions of FASB Statement No. 141(R). Early adoption is prohibited. We are currently evaluating the potential impact that FASB Statement No. 141(R) may have on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements (FASB Statement No. 160), which establishes accounting and reporting standards that require: (i) the ownership interest in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent to be clearly identified and presented in the consolidated balance sheet within shareholder's equity, but separate from the parent's equity; (ii) the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and the noncontrolling interest to be clearly identified and presented on the face of the consolidated statement of operations and (iii) changes in a parent's ownership interest while the parent retains its controlling financial interest in its subsidiary to be accounted for consistently. FASB Statement No. 160 applies to fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Earlier adoption is prohibited. We have not yet commenced evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of FASB Statement No. 160 on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and eash flows.

We have determined that all other recently issued accounting pronouncements will not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows, or do not apply to our operations

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND MARKET DATA

This document contains forward-looking statements that are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections about the industry in which we operate, and beliefs and assumptions made by our management. Such statements include, in particular, statements about our plans, strategies and prospects. Words such as "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "plan," "believe," "seek," "estimate" and variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. Examples of forward-looking statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q include, but are not limited to, our expectations with respect to the impact of metal price movements on our financial performance, our metal price ceiling exposure and the effectiveness of our hedging programs and controls. These statements are based on beliefs and assumptions of Novelis' management, which in turn are based on currently available information. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve assumptions and results may differ materially from what is expressed, implied or forecasted in such forward-looking statements. We do not intend, and we disclaim any obligation, to update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

This document also contains information concerning our markets and products generally, which is forward-looking in nature and is based on a variety of assumptions regarding the ways in which these markets and product categories will develop. These assumptions have been derived from information currently available to us and to the third party industry analysts quoted herein. This information includes, but is not limited to, product shipments and share of production. Actual market results may differ from those predicted. While we do not know what impact any of these differences may have on our business, our results of operations, financial condition, cash flow and the market price of our securities may be materially adversely affected. Factors that could cause actual results or outcomes to differ from the results expressed or implied by forward-looking statements include, among other things:

- · the level of our indebtedness and our ability to generate cash;
- · changes in the prices and availability of aluminum (or premiums associated with such prices) or other materials and raw materials we use;
- the effect of metal price ceilings in certain of our sales contracts;
- the effectiveness of our metal hedging activities, including our internal used beverage cans (UBC) and smelter hedges;
- · relationships with, and financial and operating conditions of, our customers, suppliers and our ultimate parent, Hindalco;
- · fluctuations in the supply of, and prices for, energy in the areas in which we maintain production facilities;
- · our ability to access financing for future capital requirements;
- · continuing obligations and other relationships resulting from our spin-off from Alcan;
- · changes in the relative values of various currencies;
- · factors affecting our operations, such as litigation, environmental remediation and clean-up costs, labor relations and negotiations, breakdown of equipment and other events;
- · economic, regulatory and political factors within the countries in which we operate or sell our products, including changes in duties or tariffs;
- competition from other aluminum rolled products producers as well as from substitute materials such as steel, glass, plastic and composite materials;

- · changes in general economic conditions;
- · our ability to improve and maintain effective internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures in the future;
- changes in the fair value of derivative instruments:
- · cyclical demand and pricing within the principal markets for our products as well as seasonality in certain of our customers' industries;
- · changes in government regulations, particularly those affecting taxes, environmental, health or safety compliance;
- · changes in interest rates that have the effect of increasing the amounts we pay under our principal credit agreement and other financing agreements; and
- the effect of taxes and changes in tax rates.

The above list of factors is not exhaustive. Some of these and other factors are discussed in more detail under "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended March 31,

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to certain market risks as part of our ongoing business operations, including risks from changes in commodity prices (aluminum, electricity and natural gas), foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates that could impact our results of operations and financial condition.

We manage our exposure to these and other market risks through regular operating and financing activities and derivative financial instruments. We use derivative financial instruments as risk management tools only, and not for speculative purposes. Except where noted, the derivative contracts are marked-to-market and the related gains and losses are included in earnings in the current accounting period.

By their nature, all derivative financial instruments involve risk, including both our credit risk of non-performance and the credit risk of non-performance by counterparties. All derivative contracts are executed with counterparties that, in our judgment, are creditworthy. Our maximum potential loss may exceed the amount recognized in the accompanying June 30, 2008 condensed consolidated balance sheet.

The decision of whether and when to execute derivative instruments, along with the duration of the instrument, can vary from period to period depending on market conditions and the relative costs of the instruments. The duration is always linked to the timing of the underlying exposure, with the connection between the two being regularly monitored.

Commodity Price Risks

We have commodity price risk with respect to purchases of certain raw materials including aluminum, electricity and natural gas.

Aluminum

Most of our business is conducted under a conversion model, which allows us to pass through increases or decreases in the price of aluminum to our customers. Nearly all of our products have a price structure with two components: (i) a pass through aluminum price based on the LME plus local market premiums and (ii) a "conversion premium" based on the conversion cost to produce the rolled product and the competitive market conditions for that product.

In situations where we offer customers fixed prices for future delivery of our products, we may enter into derivative instruments for the metal inputs in order to protect the profit on the conversion of the product. Consequently, the gain or loss resulting from movements in the price of aluminum on these contracts would generally be offset by an equal and opposite impact on the net sales and purchases being hedged.

In addition, sales contracts representing approximately 8% of our total shipments for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 provide for a ceiling over which metal prices could not contractually be passed through to a customer, unless adjusted. As a result, we are unable to pass through the complete increase in metal prices for sales under these contracts and this negatively impacts our margins when the metal price is above the ceiling price. Our exposure to metal price ceilings approximates 8% of our estimated shipments for the remainder of fiscal 2009.

We employ three strategies to mitigate our risk of rising metal prices that we cannot pass through to certain customers due to metal price ceilings. First, we maximize the amount of our internally supplied metal inputs from our smelting, refining and mining operations in Brazil. Second, we rely on the output from our recycling operations which utilize used beverage cans (UBCs). Both of these sources of aluminum supply have historically provided an offsetting benefit to the can ceiling contracts. We refer to these two sources as our internal hedges.

Beyond our internal hedges described above, our third strategy to mitigate the risk of loss or reduced profitability associated with the metal price ceilings is to purchase derivative instruments on projected aluminum volume requirements above our assumed internal hedge position. We currently purchase aluminum futures and options to hedge our exposure to further metal price increases.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2008, we sold short-term LME futures contracts to reduce the cash flow volatility of fluctuating metal prices associated with metal price lag. We enter into forward metal purchases simultaneous with the contracts that contain fixed metal prices. These forward metal purchases directly hedge the economic risk of future metal price fluctuation associated with these contracts. The positive or negative impact on sales under these contracts has been included in the metal price lag effect described above, without regard to the fixed forward instruments we purchased to offset this risk.

Sensitivities

The following table presents the estimated potential pre-tax gain (loss) in the fair values of these derivative instruments as of June 30, 2008, given a 10% change in the three-month LME price (\$ in millions).

	Change in	Change in
	Rate/Price	Fair Value
Aluminum Forward Contracts	10%	\$ 51
Aluminum Options	10%	\$ 2

Electricity and Natural Gas

We use several sources of energy in the manufacture and delivery of our aluminum rolled products. In the quarter ended June 30, 2008, natural gas and electricity represented approximately 70% of our energy consumption by cost. We also use fuel oil and transport fuel. The majority of energy usage occurs at our casting centers, at our smelters in South America and during the hot rolling of aluminum. Our cold rolling facilities require relatively less energy. We purchase our natural gas on the open market, which subjects us to market pricing fluctuations. Recent natural gas pricing changes in the United States have increased our energy costs. We seek to stabilize our future exposure to natural gas prices through the use of forward purchase contracts. Natural gas prices in Europe, Asia and South America have historically been more stable than in the United States. As of June 30, 2008, we have a nominal amount of forward purchases outstanding related to natural gas.

A portion of our electricity requirements are purchased pursuant to long-term contracts in the local regions in which we operate. A number of our facilities are located in regions with regulated prices, which affords relatively stable costs. In South America, we own and operate hydroelectric facilities that meet approximately 25% of our total electricity requirements in that segment. Additionally, we have entered into an electricity swap in North America to fix a portion of the cost of our electricity requirements.

Rising energy costs worldwide, due to the volatility of supply and international and geopolitical events, expose us to reduced profits as such changes in such costs cannot immediately be recovered under existing contracts and sales agreements, and may only be mitigated in future periods under future pricing arrangements.

Sensitivities

The following table presents the estimated potential effect on the fair values of these derivative instruments as of June 30, 2008, given a 10% change in spot prices for energy contracts (\$ in millions).

Change in

	Rate/Price	Fair Value
Electricity	10%	\$ 8
Natural Gas	10%	\$ 3

Foreign Currency Exchange Risks

Exchange rate movements, particularly the euro, the Canadian dollar, the Brazilian real and the Korean won against the U.S. dollar, have an impact on our operating results. In Europe, where we have predominantly local currency selling prices and operating costs, we benefit as the euro strengthens, but are adversely affected as the euro weakens. In Korea, where we have local currency selling prices for local sales and U.S. dollar denominated selling prices for exports, we benefit slightly as the won weakens, but are adversely affected as the won strengthens, due to a slightly higher percentage of exports compared to local sales. In Canada and Brazil, where we have predominately U.S. dollar selling prices and local currency operating costs, we benefit as the local currencies weaken, but are adversely affected as the local currencies strengthen. Foreign currency contracts may be used to hedge the economic exposures at our foreign operations.

It is our policy to minimize functional currency exposures within each of our key regional operating segments. As such, the majority of our foreign currency exposures are from either forecasted net sales or forecasted purchase commitments in non-functional currencies. Our most significant non-U.S. dollar functional currency operating segments are Europe and Asia, which have the euro and the Korean won as their functional currencies, respectively. South America is U.S. dollar functional with Brazilian real transactional exposure.

We face translation risks related to the changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Amounts invested in our foreign operations are translated into U.S. dollars at the exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date. The resulting translation adjustments are recorded as a component of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the Shareholders' equity section of the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. Net sales and expenses in our foreign operations' foreign currencies are translated into varying amounts of U.S. dollars, and these changes in exchange rates may either positively or negatively affect our net sales and expenses from foreign operations as expressed in U.S. dollars.

Any negative impact of currency movements on the currency contracts that we have entered into to hedge foreign currency commitments to purchase or sell goods and services would be offset by an equal and opposite favorable exchange impact on the commitments being hedged. For a discussion of accounting policies and other information relating to currency contracts, see Note 1 — Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Note 14 — Financial Instruments and Commodity Contracts to our accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

Sensitivities

The following table presents the estimated potential effect on the fair values of these derivative instruments as of June 30, 2008, given a 10% change in rates (\$ in millions).

	Increase (Decrease) in Exchange Rate	Gain Loss) in air Value
Currency measured against the U.S. dollar		
Euro	10%	\$ (54)
Korean won	10%	\$ (11)
Brazilian real	10%	\$ 39
British pound	10%	\$ 11
Swiss franc	10%	\$ (1)
Malaysian ringgit	10%	\$ 1

Pre-Tax

Pre-Tax

Loans to and investments in European operations have been hedged by cross-currency swaps (euro 475 million, GBP 62 million, CHF 35 million). Loans from European operations have been hedged by cross-currency principal only swaps (euro 111 million). Principal only swaps totaling euro 91 million were accounted for as cash flow hedges through May 15, 2007. Concurrent with the completion of the Arrangement on May 15, 2007, we dedesignated these hedging relationships. On September 1, 2007, we redesignated our cross-currency swaps as net investment hedges. While this has no impact on our cash flows, subsequent changes in the value of currency related derivative instruments that are not designated as hedges are recognized in Gain (loss) on change in fair value of derivative instruments — net in our condensed consolidated statement of operations.

The following table presents the estimated potential pre-tax loss in the fair values of these derivative instruments as of June 30, 2008, assuming a 10% increase in rates (\$\sin\$ millions).

	Increase in Rate	ss in Value
Currency measured against the U.S. dollar		
Euro	10%	\$ (86)
British pound	10%	\$ (14)
Swiss franc	10%	\$ (5)

Interest Rate Risks

We are subject to interest rate risk related to our floating rate debt. For every 12.5 basis point increase in the interest rates on our outstanding variable rate debt as of June 30, 2008, which includes \$350 million of term loan debt and other variable rate debt of \$21 million. There would be no significant impact on our annual pre-tax income.

As of June 30, 2008, approximately 87% of our debt obligations were at fixed rates. Due to the nature of fixed-rate debt, there would be no significant impact on our interest expense or cash flows from either a 10% increase or decrease in market rates of interest.

From time to time, we have used interest rate swaps to manage our debt cost. In Korea, we entered into interest rate swaps to fix the interest rate on various floating rate debt. See Note 9 — Debt to our accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for further information.

Sensitivities

The following table presents the estimated potential effect on the fair values of these derivative instruments as of June 30, 2008 given a 10% change in rates (\$ in millions).

		Rate	Fa	ir Value
1	Interest Rate Swap Contracts			
	North America	10%	\$	2
	Asia	10%	\$	_

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the United States Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), is (1) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC and (2) accumulated and communicated to management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

In connection with the preparation of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2008, members of management, at the direction (and with the participation) of our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act), as of June 30, 2008. Based on that evaluation, the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective at a reasonable assurance level as of June 30, 2008, because of the material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting discussed below. Notwithstanding the material weakness described below, our management has concluded that the company's unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this report are fairly stated, in all material respects, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP).

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

On June 27, 2008, Jeffrey Schwaneke, Vice President and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer) resigned to pursue another professional opportunity. Immediately upon Mr. Schwaneke's departure, we engaged an external accounting consultant with previous public company accounting experience to serve as Interim Controller.

There have been no other changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Material Weakness Existing as of June 30, 2008 and Remediation Plan

A material weakness is a control deficiency, or a combination of control deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. As of June 30, 2008, we did not maintain effective controls over the application of purchase accounting for an equity method investee including related income tax accounts. Specifically, our controls did not ensure the accuracy of our purchase accounting adjustments for an equity method investee, resulting in an error in our provision for income taxes during the period we were finalizing our purchase accounting. This control deficiency resulted in adjustments affecting the period May, 15, 2007 through March 31, 2008 identified in Note 2 — Restatement of Financial Statements in the consolidated and combined financial statements included in our

Form 10-K/A filed with the SEC on August 11, 2008 (see Note 2 — Restatement of Financial Statements to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements).

Additionally, this control deficiency could result in a material misstatement of the accounts identified in Note 2 — Restatement of Financial Statements to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements that would result in a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim consolidated financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Accordingly, management has determined that this control deficiency constitutes a material weakness.

Our plan for remediating this material weakness includes the following:

- 1. We conducted a full review of the purchase accounting for the Hindalco acquisition, including a review of the valuation approach, as well as the related accounting for equity method investees and related income tax accounts. This review was conducted by the Principal Financial Officer, corporate and regional financial officers, corporate and regional tax personnel, and the company's external valuation expert.
- 2. Management will re-evaluate all accounting and financial reporting controls for purchase accounting and equity method investees, including related income tax accounts.
- 3. Training sessions will be conducted for key financial and tax personnel regarding equity method accounting and related income tax accounting matters.
- Management is transitioning certain purchase accounting responsibilities to our regional financial personnel, including tax personnel, and developing procedures to monitor the ongoing activity in the regions.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Reynolds Boat Case. As previously disclosed, we and Alcan were defendants in a case in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington, in Tacoma, Washington, case number C04-0175RJB. Plaintiffs were Reynolds Metals Company, Alcoa, Inc. and National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh PA. The case was tried before a jury beginning on May 1, 2006 under implied warranty theories, based on allegations that from 1998 to 2001 we and Alcan sold certain aluminum products that were ultimately used for marine applications and were unsuitable for such applications. The jury reached a vertict on May 22, 2006 against us and Alcan for approximately \$60 million, and the court later awarded Reynolds and Alcoa approximately \$16 million in prejudgment interest and court costs.

The case was settled during July 2006 as among us, Alcan, Reynolds, Alcoa and their insurers for \$71 million. We contributed approximately \$1 million toward the settlement, and the remaining \$70 million was funded by our insurers. Although the settlement was substantially funded by our insurance carriers, certain of them have reserved the right to request a refund from us, after reviewing details of the plaintiffs' damages to determine if they include costs of a nature not covered under the insurance contracts. Of the \$70 million funded, \$39 million is in dispute with and under further review by certain of our insurance carriers. In the quarter ended September 30, 2006, we posted a letter of credit in the amount of approximately \$10 million in favor of one of those insurance carriers, while we resolve the extent of coverage of the costs included in the settlement. On October 8, 2007, we received a letter from these insurers stating that they have completed their review and they are requesting a refund of the \$39 million plus interest. We reviewed the insurers' position, and on January 7, 2008, we sent a letter to the insurers rejecting their position that Novelis is not entitled to insurance coverage for the judgment against Novelis.

Since our fiscal 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K was not filed until August 25, 2006, we recognized a liability for the full settlement amount of \$71 million on December 31, 2005, included in Accrued expenses and other current liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet, with a corresponding charge against earnings. We also recognized an insurance receivable included in Prepaid expenses and other current assets on our consolidated balance sheet of \$31 million, with a corresponding increase to earnings. Although \$70 million of the settlement was funded by our insurers, we only recognized an insurance receivable to the extent that coverage was not in dispute. This resulted in a net charge of \$40 million during the quarter ended December 31, 2005.

In July 2006, we contributed and paid \$1 million to our insurers who subsequently paid the entire settlement amount of \$71 million to the plaintiffs. Accordingly, during the quarter ended September 30, 2006 we reversed the previously recorded insurance receivable of \$31 million and reduced our recorded liability by the same amount plus the \$1 million contributed by us. The remaining liability of \$39 million represents the amount of the settlement claim that was funded by our insurers but is still in dispute with and under further review by the parties as described above. The \$39 million liability is included in Accrued expenses and other current liabilities in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2008 and March 31, 2008.

While the ultimate resolution of the nature and extent of any costs not covered under our insurance contracts cannot be determined with certainty or reasonably estimated at this time, if there is an adverse outcome with respect to insurance coverage, and we are required to reimburse our insurers, it could have a material impact on our cash flows in the period of resolution. Alternatively, the ultimate resolution could be favorable, such that insurance coverage is in excess of the net expense that we have recognized to date. This would result in our recording a non-cash gain in the period of resolution, and this non-cash gain could have a material impact on our results of operations during the period in which such a determination is made.

Coca-Cola Lawsuits. A lawsuit was commenced against Novelis Corporation on February 15, 2007 by Coca-Cola Bottler's Sales and Services Company LLC (CCBSS) in state court in Georgia. In addition, a lawsuit was commenced against Novelis Corporation and Alcan Corporation on April 3, 2007 by Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc., Enterprises Acquisition Company, Inc., The Coca-Cola Company and The Coca-Cola Trading

Company, Inc. (collectively CCE) in federal court in Georgia. Novelis intends to defend these claims vigorously.

CCBSS is a consortium of Coca-Cola bottlers across the United States, including Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc. CCBSS alleges that Novelis Corporation breached an aluminum can stock supply agreement between the parties, and seeks monetary damages in an amount to be determined at trial and a declaration of its rights under the agreement. The agreement includes a "most favored nations" provision regarding certain pricing matters. CCBSS alleges that Novelis Corporation breached the terms of the most favored nations provision. The dispute will likely turn on the facts that are presented to the court by the parties and the court's finding as to how certain provisions of the agreement ought to be interpreted. If CCBSS were to prevail in this litigation, the amount of damages would likely be material. Novelis Corporation has filed its answer and the parties are proceeding with discovery.

The claim by CCE seeks monetary damages in an amount to be determined at trial for breach of a prior aluminum can stock supply agreement between CCE and Novelis Corporation, successor to the rights and obligations of Alcan Aluminum Corporation under the agreement. According to its terms, that agreement with CCE terminated in 2006. The CCE supply agreement included a "most favored nations" provision regarding certain pricing matters. CCE alleges that Novelis Corporation's entry into a supply agreement with Anheuser-Busch, Inc. breached the "most favored nations" provision of the CCE supply agreement. Novelis Corporation moved to dismiss the complaint and on March 26, 2008, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia issued an order granting Novelis Corporation's motion dismiss CCE's claim. On April 24, 2008, CCE filed a notice of appeal of the court's order with the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit and filed its appellate brief on July 11, 2008. On August 13, 2008, Novelis Corporation filed its response brief with the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit. If CCE were to ultimately prevail in this appeal and litigation, the amount of damages would likely be material. We have not recorded any reserves for these matters.

Anheuser-Busch Litigation. On September 19, 2006, Novelis Corporation filed a lawsuit against Anheuser-Busch, Inc. (Anheuser-Busch) in federal court in Ohio. Anheuser-Busch subsequently filed suit against Novelis Corporation and the Company in federal court in Missouri. On January 3, 2007, Anheuser-Busch's suit was transferred to the Ohio federal court.

Novelis Corporation alleged that Anheuser-Busch breached the existing multi-year aluminum can stock supply agreement between the parties, and sought monetary damages and declaratory relief.

Among other claims, we asserted that since entering into the supply agreement, Anheuser-Busch has breached its confidentiality obligations and there has been a structural change in market conditions that requires a change to the pricing provisions under the agreement.

In its complaint, Anheuser-Busch asked for a declaratory judgment that Anheuser-Busch is not obligated to modify the supply agreement as requested by Novelis Corporation, and that Novelis Corporation must continue to perform under the existing supply agreement.

On January 18, 2008, Anheuser-Busch filed a motion for summary judgment. On May 22, 2008, the court granted Anheuser-Busch's motion for summary judgment. Novelis Corporation filed a notice of appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit on June 20, 2008. Novelis Corporation has continued to perform under the supply agreement during the litigation.

ARCO Aluminum Complaint. On May 24, 2007, Arco Aluminum Inc. (ARCO) filed a complaint against Novelis Corporation and Novelis Inc. in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky. ARCO and Novelis are partners in a joint venture rolling mill located in Logan, Kentucky. In the complaint, ARCO seeks to resolve a perceived dispute over management and control of the joint venture following Hindalco's acquisition of Novelis.

ARCO alleges that its consent was required in connection with Hindalco's acquisition of Novelis. Failure to obtain consent, ARCO alleges, has put us in default of the joint venture agreements, thereby triggering certain provisions in those agreements. The provisions include a reversion of the production management at the joint venture to Logan Aluminum from Novelis, and a reduction of the board of directors of the entity that

manages the joint venture from seven members (four appointed by Novelis and three appointed by ARCO) to six members (three appointed by each of Novelis and ARCO).

ARCO seeks a court declaration that (1) Novelis and its affiliates are prohibited from exercising any managerial authority or control over the joint venture, (2) Novelis' interest in the joint venture is limited to an economic interest only and (3) ARCO has authority to act on behalf of the joint venture. Or, alternatively, ARCO is seeking a reversion of the production management function to Logan Aluminum, and a change in the composition of the board of directors of the entity that manages the joint venture. Novelis filed its answer to the complaint on July 16, 2007.

On July 3, 2007, ARCO filed a motion for partial summary judgment with respect to one of the counts of its complaint relating to the claim that Novelis breached the joint venture agreement by not seeking ARCO's consent. On July 30, 2007, Novelis filed a motion to hold ARCO's motion for summary judgment in abeyance (pending further discovery), along with a demand for a jury. On February 14, 2008, the judge issued an order granting our motion to hold ARCO's summary judgment motion in abeyance. Pursuant to this ruling, management and the board of the joint venture are conducting their activities as

Item 6.	Exhibits
Exhibit No.	D escription
2 .1	Arrangement Agreement by and among Hindalco Industries Limited, AV Aluminum Inc. and Novelis Inc., dated as of February 10, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 13, 2007)
3 .1	Restated Certificate and Articles of Incorporation of Novelis Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Form 8-K filed by Novelis Inc. on January 7, 2005 (File No. 001-32312))
3 .2	Amended and Restated Bylaws, adopted as of July 24, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Form 8-K filed by Novelis Inc. on July 25, 2008 (File No. 001-32312))
4 .1	Shareholder Rights Agreement between Novelis and CIBC Mellon Trust Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form 10-K filed by Novelis Inc. on March 30, 2005 (File No. 001-32312))
4 .2	Indenture, relating to the Notes, dated as of February 3, 2005, between the Company, the guarantors named on the signature pages thereto and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 3, 2005 (File No. 001-32312))
4.3	Form of Note for 7 1/4% Senior Notes due 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form S-4 filed by Novelis Inc. on August 3, 2005 (File No. 331-127139))
4 .4	First Amendment to the Shareholder Rights Agreement between Novelis Inc. and CIBC Mellon Trust Company, dated as of February 10, 2007 (incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K file on February 13, 2007)
10.1*	Employment Letter dated April 28, 2008 between Novelis Inc. and Jean-Marc Germain
10.2*	Form of Novelis Long-Term Incentive Plan for Fiscal 2009-2012
31.1	Section 302 Certification of Principal Executive Officer
31.2	Section 302 Certification of Principal Financial Officer
32.1	Section 906 Certification of Principal Executive Officer
32.2	Section 906 Certification of Principal Financial Officer

^{*} Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

NOVELIS INC.

By:

/s/ Steven Fisher Steven Fisher Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

Date: August 14, 2008

EXHIBIT INDEX

xhibit No.	Description
2 .1	Arrangement Agreement by and among Hindalco Industries Limited, AV Aluminum Inc. and Novelis Inc., dated as of February 10, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 13, 2007)
3.1	Restated Certificate and Articles of Incorporation of Novelis Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Form 8-K filed by Novelis Inc. on January 7, 2005 (File No. 001-32312))
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws, adopted as of July 24, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Form 8-K filed by Novelis Inc. on July 25, 2008 (File No. 001-32312))
4 .1	Shareholder Rights Agreement between Novelis and CIBC Mellon Trust Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form 10-K filed by Novelis Inc. on March 30, 2005 (File No. 001-32312))
4 .2	Indenture, relating to the Notes, dated as of February 3, 2005, between the Company, the guarantors named on the signature pages thereto and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 3, 2005 (File No. 001-32312))
4.3	Form of Note for 7 1/4% Senior Notes due 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form S-4 filed by Novelis Inc. on August 3, 2005 (File No. 331-127139))
4 .4	First Amendment to the Shareholder Rights Agreement between Novelis Inc. and CIBC Mellon Trust Company, dated as of February 10, 2007 (incorporated by reference to our Current Report of Form 8-K file on February 13, 2007)
10.1*	Employment Letter dated April 28, 2008 between Novelis Inc. and Jean-Marc Germain
10.2*	Form of Novelis Long-Term Incentive Plan for Fiscal 2009-2012
31.1	Section 302 Certification of Principal Executive Officer
31.2	Section 302 Certification of Principal Financial Officer
32.1	Section 906 Certification of Principal Executive Officer
32.2	Section 906 Certification of Principal Financial Officer

^{*} Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.



Personal & Confidential

April 28, 2008

Mr. Jean-Marc Germain Novelis North America Mayfield Heights, Ohio

Dear Jean-Marc:

I am pleased to offer you the position of President Novelis North America based in Mayfield Heights, Ohio reporting to me. The initial terms and conditions applicable to your appointment to this position are as follows:

1. Starting Date

The effective date of this position will be May 15, 2008.

2. Salary

The position of President Novelis North America will have an initial base salary of \$325,000 annually. Your compensation will be reviewed again in calendar year 2009.

3. Position Grade

The position of President Novelis North America will be a Grade 48.

4. Annual Incentive Plan

The target opportunity for your position will be 60% of your base salary annually or \$195,000. The performance measures for this plan are based on Novelis business performance objectives. Depending on the level of the results, the actual bonus for FYE 2009, could be as high as two-times target or as low as zero.

5. Long Term Incentive Plan (LTIP)

Novelis is in the process of developing a new LTIP for approval by the Novelis Board. You will be eligible to participate in this new Novelis LTIP and it is anticipated that the target for Grade 48 will be \$454,000.

Novelis, Inc. Lenox Building 3399 Peachtree Road, NE Suite 1500, Atlanta, Georgia 30326

6. Severance

The severance amount to which you are entitled will be increased to eighteen (18) months of base pay and target bonus. You will remain at that level until such time that the severance amount for Region Presidents is reviewed after which you will have the same severance amount as the other Region Presidents.

7. Tuition Reimbursement

You will be entitled to tuition reimbursement (with tax gross-up) for one-half of the education expenses for your children for the 2009 — 2010 school year. There will be no reimbursements after the 2009 — 2010 school year.

8. Benefits

Your Novelis benefits will remain unchanged except that your flex perks amount will be increased to \$11,500.

I trust the above is acceptable to you. If you have any questions about the offer, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

/s/ Martha Brooks

Martha Brooks
President and Chief Operating Officer

Novelis, Inc. Lenox Building 3399 Peachtree Road, NE Suite 1500, Atlanta, Georgia 30326



Novelis — Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) — FY 2009 — FY 2012

Key features of the Scheme:

- 1. **Title and Administration:** The scheme shall be referred to as the Novelis Long-Term Incentive Plan FY 2009 FY 2012. The scheme will be administered by the Human Resources team at the Novelis Corporate Office.
- 2. **Performance Period:** For this scheme, the performance period will be FY 2009, FY 2010, FY 2011 and FY 2012. The exact period of assessment will be April 1, 2008 to March 31, 2012.
- 3. **Coverage:** The coverage of this scheme will be Grade 38 and above. As of now, this means that 173 Managers are entitled for coverage under this plan. High potential and critical resource employees at Grade 37 and below will participate on an exception basis.
- 4. Pay Opportunity: The target pay opportunity for Grades 38 and above will be as follows

Grade	Long-Term Incentive Opportunity in US\$	
38	\$22,000	
39	\$31,000	
40	\$44,000	
41	\$61,000	
42	\$83,000	
43	\$108,000	
44	\$129,000	
45	\$171,000	
46	\$245,000	
47	\$355,000	
48	\$500,000	
President and COO	\$2 231 000	

While this will be the target pay opportunity by grade, individual amounts may vary, depending on performance, potential and criticality of role, within the overall budget

5. Pay Design Summary:

a. A work team, with representation from Hindaclo HR, Novelis HR and Group HR was constituted to put together a work design for LTIP FY2009-

- b. The work team has proposed a design that is based on the price movement of Hindalco shares
- c. The pay opportunity will be in the form of Stock Appreciation Rights (SAR's) with the value of one SAR equivalent to one Hindalco share.
- d. The SARs would vest 25% each year for 4 years, subject to performance criteria being fulfilled.
- e. The performance criterion for vesting is actual vs. target performance of Operating EBITDA for Overall Novelis as approved each year
- f. The threshold would be 75% performance of target each year, at which point 75% of SARs due that year, would vest there would be straight line vesting up to 100%
- g. Vested SARs could be exercised at any time during the seven-year life of the plan by the employee.
- h. The value of the SARS is dependent on the share price of Hindalco at the time of exercise
- i. Cash payouts will be restricted to a maximum of 2.5 times target if exercised within one year of vesting.
- Cash payouts will be restricted to a maximum of 3 times target if exercised after first year
- 6. **Measures to be used for vesting of SAR's as part of the LTIP**: For FY 2009 FY 2012, the SAR's will vest subject to the actual v/s target Operating EBITDA threshold being met. Operating EBIDTA has been defined as under:
 - a. EBITDA: Defined as Net Revenues COGS without depreciation S&AE R&D + Realized G/L on Derivatives. A manual documenting how to calculate EBITDA and various adjustments to be made to the measure will be created and agreed upon with Novelis and Hindalco executive management. A Committee comprised of the Novelis President, the Novelis CFO and the Hindalco CFO will consider any situations not addressed in the manual, such as major acquisitions, divestitures and restructuring and will recommend any adjustments to the Vice Chairman Novelis for approval or further consideration by the appropriate authority.
- 7. **Example of Computation of number of SAR's for the purpose of Grant:** The computation of SAR's will be as explained in the following example.
 - a. Target Dollar Opportunity / (Black-Scholes Value [Indian Equivalent] x current Hindalco share price) = # of Stock Appreciation Rights (SARs)
 - b. Example:
 - i. Participant is a Grade 44
 - ii. Target Opportunity is \$129,000
 - iii. Assume Black Scholes value is 40%

- iv. Assume Hindalco share price on grant date is 200 Rupees and converts to \$5.00 per share (assumes exchange rate of US\$1=INR40)
- v. \$129,000 / (40% x \$5.00) = 64,500 SARs priced at 200 Rupees each
- vi. Subject to vesting rules and cap on payout—participant is entitled to the gain in the market value of Hindalco shares with each SAR representing the opportunity on one Hindalco share
- 8. **Participation recommendations:** For the LTIP FY 2009 2012, 156 participants in grades 38 and above have been recommended for a total target opportunity of \$11,650,000. Additionally, 47 participants below grade 38 have been recommended for a total target opportunity of \$505,000 or less than 5% of the total target opportunity for grades 38 and above.

9. Other aspects of the plan:

- a. Valuation: The Black Scholes method of valuation will be used. This valuation will be used as an input to arrive at the number of SAR's to be granted to employees.
- b. Date of Grant: The SAR's are proposed to be granted on the date of approval from the Board i.e. June 19, 2008.
- c. An individual will be entitled to participate in the LTIP for FY 2009 FY 2012 if actively employed no later than June 1, 2008. Employees hired during FY 2009, are proposed to be treated in the following manner:
 - i. For those who join between June September, target opportunity to be 90% of the target amount for the Grade
 - ii. For those who join between October December, target opportunity to be 75% of the target amount for the Grade
 - iii. For those who join between January March, target opportunity to be 50% of the target amount for the Grade
- d. It is not proposed to revise the LTIP Opportunity for existing employees in the event of a grade change during the year, except in case of employees who had not been covered under the plan earlier and then move to a role that is Grade 38 or above during the course of the year.
- e. In the event of separation on account of resignation initiated by the employee, any unvested SAR's will lapse and vested SAR's have to be exercised within 90 days.
- f. In the event of retirement, more than one grant from grant date, SAR's will continue to vest and must be exercised no later than the 3rd anniversary following retirement.
- g. In the event of death or disability, there will be immediate vesting of all SAR's with one year to exercise.
- h. Upon change in control being triggered, there would be immediate vesting and cashout of all SAR's.

Section 302 Certification of Principal Executive Officer

- I, Martha Finn Brooks, President and Chief Operating Officer of Novelis Inc. (Novelis), certify that:
 - 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Novelis;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Martha Finn Brooks
Martha Finn Brooks
President and Chief Operating Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: August 14, 2008

Section 302 Certification of Principal Financial Officer

- I, Steven Fisher, Chief Financial Officer of Novelis Inc. (Novelis), certify that:
 - 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Novelis;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Steven Fisher
Steven Fisher
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: August 14, 2008

Section 906 Certification of Principal Executive Officer

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, the undersigned officer of Novelis Inc. (the Company), hereby certifies that the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2008 (the Report) fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and that the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Martha Finn Brooks Martha Finn Brooks President and Chief Operating Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

Date: August 14, 2008

The foregoing certification is being furnished solely pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 and is not being filed as part of this report.

Section 906 Certification of Principal Financial Officer

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, the undersigned officer of Novelis Inc. (the Company), hereby certifies that the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2008 (the Report) fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and that the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Steven Fisher Steven Fisher Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

Date: August 14, 2008

The foregoing certification is being furnished solely pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 and is not being filed as part of this report.